

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JAMB_117965
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns of Salmonella, Shigella and Escherichia coli among Children suffering from Diarrhoea, Unguja - Zanzibar, Tanzania.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It is very importance as it gives information on the prevalence of the miceobes under studies</p> <p>It very suitable</p> <p>It is okay</p> <p>It is very appropriate</p> <p>It is very correct provided the data are correctly obtained and recorded without mistake</p> <p>The reference are very recent and sufficient but there some corrections to be effected which has be highlighted in the manuscript</p> <p>Nil</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The researched article is commendable. The article is clear and the introduction section is good and shows the importance of the study. Literature review is adequate. The article should be published after correction has been effected</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jegede Rotimi Jude
Department, University & Country	Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria