

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_118762
Title of the Manuscript:	Multi-objective optimization of rotary device bracket for automatic diesel cylinder block line based on ANSYS analysis
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The FEM based ANSYS workbench has been used with the optimization technique which improves the static and dynamic analysis of the model. The manuscript is demonstrated with past knowledge and technologies which has shown the importance in the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. It has all the content available that is required for the content. Kindly recheck the grammatical errors.</p> <p>4. Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. It is suggested to add some more references of the recent years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. There is lot of grammar mistakes in the introduction part of the manuscript and need to check through the whole manuscript.</p> <p>2. The manuscript is not well organized as it must aligned in the justified manner instead of left aligned.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There is need to add more reference in the section 1 and 2. The reference paper citation need to give in the section 4.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudhir Kumar Sharma
Department, University & Country	Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, India