

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_118457
Title of the Manuscript:	Identifying, Mapping, and Analyzing Stakeholders of Tomato Value Chain
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. Globally, tomato is one of the most common vegetable whose products have a major contribution to the nutrition and economy of Indians. Hence, the research is important because the research highlights the challenges in the tomato value chain and suggests possible strategies to face them in order to attain development.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes. However, subsections are unnecessary in an Abstract and the researcher didn't give any recommendations to face the identified challenges.</p> <p>4. Subsection 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS may be METHODOLOGY Table 1: First column; usually it's "S?N" and not "Sr. No." Second column of Selected Respondents remove the "No. of " in each entry and just write the respondents e.g. "farmers" Subsection 5 should be "RECOMENDATIONS" and not "RECOMENDATION"</p> <p>5. Yes,</p> <p>6. Yes. Check: Tiwari J. K., et al (2021). Tomato breeding for processing in India: Current status and prospects</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is a well done work that includes appropriate methodology, excellent English and updated references.</p> <p>I found this to be a very educative research with scientific information. Therefore, the report can be very useful to other tomato farmers not only in India but also in other areas (local and international) that grow tomato.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wilhelmina Jerome Lyimo
Department, University & Country	St. Augustine University of Tanzania, Tanzania