



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract not include the aim of this study and need to reformulate included the comprehensive information related the present study.</li> <li>2. - Author must be given full name for all the short forms for the first time in all text .</li> <li>3. - Results and discussion in the article are not scientific basis and must be expand in details with</li> <li>4. other studies relating with aim of study should have been expand discussed.</li> <li>5. - References :</li> <li>6. a- References are many but they are much older, not sufficient, not arranged in the text, and the article needs modern references.</li> <li>7. b- References number 8, and 9 are present in references list (Red color) but not present in the text.</li> <li>8. c- Reference, Sun et al. ( 0 0) present in the text (blue color) but not present in the references list.</li> <li>9. d- Language and spelling mistakes are present in many places and need English editor to improve the quality of the article.</li> <li>10. Kindly check the attachment</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The aim of this study is included.</li> <li>2. Noted</li> <li>3. Aim and discussions are added scientifically</li> <li>4. Aim of study added.</li> <li>5. References:</li> <li>6. a- References are older but informative, and are sufficient in number.</li> <li>7. b- References number 8, and 9 are present in references list are checked in the test.</li> <li>8. c- Sun et al. (2010), is in the references no. 74</li> <li>9. d- The spellings are crosschecked and improved</li> <li>10. Yes</li> </ol>