

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_118906
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and structural study of the mixed copper(II)/lanthanum(III) complex with the bicompartamental ligand H2L = 2,2'-[propane-1,2-diyl bis[nitrilomethylylidene]]bis(6-methoxyphenol)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The synthesised complex is flexible which is useful in medicinal field. ok Yes The structure was well drawn Ok The reference mentioned are sufficient for this article	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is minor error in the body of the text	
Optional/General comments	The overall work of the paper was good. The author can work more such complexes so that comparison done effectively	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parameshwara Naik P.
Department, University & Country	Sahyadri Science College Shivamogga, Kuvempu University, India