

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_IJPSS_117874
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of planting time and spacing on yield and quality of garlic (<i>Allium sativum</i> L.) cv. Anand Kesari
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. YES. The manuscript present important researches in area of culture of garlic. These findings provide valuable insights for garlic cultivation, helping farmers optimize planting strategies to enhance crop performance and yield. The obtained values revealed that P2 planting time led to the most favorable outcomes, with bulb & weight diameter. Also S2 spacing is more important for quality of bulb.</p> <p>2. YES</p> <p>3. YES</p> <p>4. YES</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. YES. In the position 2 of References, please substitute Horticulturae cu Horticulture</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Please substitute "atpar" with "equal" or "the same" in the text (RESULTS and DISSCUTIONS paragraph)</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>NO</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panaitescu Mariana
Department, University & Country	Maritime University of Constanta, Romania