

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_IJECC_117736
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF LIQUID NANO UREA FERTILIZER ON GROWTH OF SUMMER RICE (Oryza sativa L.) UNDER SOUTH GUJARAT CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable, but not enough for grain yield</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive, because of not enough data for grain yield</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. But should have one more subsection on effect of liquid nano urea fertilizer on grain yield of summer rice under south Gujarat conditions.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is not enough scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient and not recent, Need to review update scientific papers during 2020-2024.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sample size for data collection is not clear, following what technical procedure of experiment? - The results and discussion section should split in to subsections corresponding to the tile of each table; - A field experiment should have data for grain yield to evaluate effect of liquid nano urea fertilizer on the whole cycle of a rice crop. - Author should analyze data for each year of 2022 and 2023 and combined analysis for two year to see if interaction between two years is significant? - Need to review update scientific papers during 2020-2024. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Le Quy Kha
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agricultural Sciences for Southern Vietnam, Vietnam