

# INFLUENCE OF LIQUID NANO UREA FERTILIZER ON GROWTH OF SUMMER RICE (*Oryza sativa* L.) UNDER SOUTH GUJARAT CONDITIONS

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during summer season of 2022 and 2023 at Navsari to study the effect of liquid Nano urea fertilizer on summer rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under South Gujarat conditions. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications and twelve treatments comprising T<sub>1</sub>: 100 % RDN through urea (120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>2</sub>: 75 % RDN + Seedling root dip in Nano urea at transplanting (TP) (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub>: 50 % RDN + Seedling root dip in Nano urea at TP (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>4</sub>: 25 % RDN + Seedling root dip in Nano urea at TP (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub>: 75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub>: 50 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>7</sub>: 25 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>8</sub>: 75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>9</sub>: 50 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>10</sub>: 25 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>11</sub>: Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>12</sub>: Seedling root dip in Nano urea at TP + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>). Application of 75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>) obtain significantly higher growth attributes viz., plant height, number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup>, chlorophyll content and growth indices including leaf area index, crop growth rate, relative growth rate and net assimilation rate, but it remained at par with 100 % RDN through urea (120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Keywords:** Rice, Nano urea, Growth, Chlorophyll, LAI, CGR, RGR, NAR

## INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important staple food crop of the India, next to wheat feeding more than half of the world's population. Rice is a heat and water loving plant grown best under submerged condition. Rice, wheat and maize provide 20, 19 and 5 per cent of the world's dietary energy supply, respectively (Anon., 2004). Rice is most important food crop for more than two billion people in Asia, provides 27% of dietary energy and 20% of overall dietary protein (Bashir *et al.*, 2007). The protein and fat content of rice is 6-7% and 2-2.5%, respectively. It provides 447 Kcal energy per 100 g rice (Anon., 2010). Global rough rice demand is estimated to rise from 723 million tons in 2015 and is further expected to increase to 852 million tonnes by 2035 (Brar and Khush, 2018). It is a predominant crop in the lowland ecosystem. Asia is considered the "rice bowl" of the world, producing and consuming more than 90 per cent of the world's rice, but India and China contribute more than half of the world's rice. Rice occupies an area of about 163 million hectares globally with production and productivity of 769 million tonnes and 4717 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. India ranks first in acreage (45.77 million hectares) and second in production (186.50 million metric tonnes) after China (Anon., 2022).

Nitrogen fertilizer plays an important role in crop production and has the most effect on increasing agricultural production and income. Nitrogen is a major nutrient for plants, which

is very important for improvement of photosynthesis, growth, development, yield, quality and biomass of rice. It is a component of amino acid in protein and important component of chlorophyll in photosynthesis and it exists in various plant parts. The application of nitrogen in rice has prominent problems such as a large amount of nitrogenous fertilizers use, efficiency and high wastage. Excess application of nitrogenous fertilizers aggravates soil degradation and environmental pollution. Therefore, it is necessary to use alternative source of nitrogen, which can not only reduce the loss of nitrogen fertilizer, but also mitigate the pollution. Only 30-50% of nitrogen from urea is utilized by plants at the farm level and remain is wasted due to rapid chemical transformations such as leaching, which contaminates soil and water bodies and volatilization which leads to emissions of nitrous oxide into the atmosphere.

Nano urea is a novel form of urea fertilizer developed by IFFCO Co-operative Limited at Kalol, Gujarat. The size of one Nano urea particle is 30 nanometres, which is about 10,000 times smaller than conventional granular urea particles. This leads to a significantly larger surface area-to-volume ratio. Due to its ultra-small size and unique surface properties, liquid Nano urea is absorbed more effectively by plants when sprayed on their leaves. Once absorbed, these nanoparticles reach plant parts requiring nitrogen and release nutrients in a controlled manner. This reduction in usage minimizes wastage in the environment. Additionally, it offers protection to plants against various biotic and abiotic stresses. Beyond yield improvement, increased nutrient use efficiency, enhanced nutritional quality of crops and it also promotes soil health. It reduces undesirable toxicities in soil and mitigates potential negative effects associated with over-application, thus reducing the frequency of application. Therefore, Nanotechnology holds great potential for achieving sustainable agriculture, particularly in developing countries. Nano urea has also undergone biosafety and toxicity testing in accordance with Indian norms and international guidelines established by Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), which are globally adopted and accepted. The present study was therefore undertaken to investigate the influence of liquid Nano urea fertilizer on summer rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under South Gujarat conditions.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A field experiment was conducted at College Farm of N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari during summer season of 2022 and 2023. The soil of experimental site was clay in texture, having pH 7.5, medium in OC and low, medium and high in available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, respectively. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design and twelve treatments comprising T<sub>1</sub>: 100 % RDN through urea (120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>2</sub>: 75 % RDN + Seedling root dip in Nano urea at TP (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub>: 50 % RDN + Seedling root dip in Nano urea at TP (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>4</sub>: 25 % RDN + Seedling root dip in Nano urea at TP (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub>: 75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub>: 50 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>7</sub>: 25 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>8</sub>: 75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>9</sub>: 50 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>10</sub>: 25 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>11</sub>: Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>12</sub>: Seedling root dip in Nano urea at TP + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>). Application of 75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>). Rice GNR 3, transplanted during the summer season with recommended practices. Nitrogen was applied in three equal splits at the time of transplanting,

active tillering stage and panicle initiation stage as per treatments. Nano urea has been manufactured by Indian Farmers Fertiliser Co-operative Limited (IFFCO) contain 40000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (4%) of nitrogen. Nano urea was sprayed as 4 ml of Nano urea litre<sup>-1</sup> of water. In this experiment Nano urea applied through two methods *viz.*, root dip and foliar spray. Root of rice seedlings dip in the Nano urea @ 4 ml L<sup>-1</sup> for 15 minutes at the time of transplanting and sprayed at tillering and panicle initiation stages as per treatment with 4 ml L<sup>-1</sup> of water by using flat-fan nozzle using 600 litre of water ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas, full dose of phosphorus (30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Zinc as a ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied as basal application uniformly to all the treatments. Summer rice ‘GNR 3’ was transplanted during summer season in February under irrigated condition at the same location and harvested in June during both the years. Plant height, dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup> measured, number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> and chlorophyll content measured at 30, 60, 90 DAT and at harvest. Readings of leaf chlorophyll content were taken with hand held device Soil Plant Analysis Development (SPAD) chlorophyll meter. SPAD is a plant analysis technique developed by IRRI, Philippines for nitrogen management in rice crop. It measures the difference between the transmittance of a red (650 nm) and an infrared (940 nm) light through the leaf giving the SPAD value (Uddling *et al.*, 2007). Growth indices *viz.*, leaf area index at 60 and 90 DAT, crop growth rate and relative growth rate during 30-60 DAT, 60-90 DAT and during 90 DAT-harvest and net assimilation rate during 60-90 DAT calculated as per following formula.

The statistical analysis of the data of various observations recorded during investigation was carried out under Randomized Block Design through analysis of variance technique as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1978). The significant difference was tested by F-test at five per cent level of significance. The standard error of mean was calculated for all the parameters however, the critical difference were calculated when the difference among treatments were found significant. Co-efficient of variance (%) was also worked out for all the characters under study. Further pooled analysis variance of two year workout to study the year effect on treatment and their interaction (Cochran and Cox, 1962).

#### **Leaf area index**

Leaf area index (LAI) is the ratio of leaf area to the area of ground cover. Then according to the formula given by Watson (1947).

$$\text{Larea index (LAI)} = \frac{\text{Area of total number of leaves surface}}{\text{Ground area covered by plant}}$$

#### **Crop growth rate (CGR) (g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>)**

Crop growth rate represents dry matter production of crop per unit area per unit time (Watson, 1952) was calculated by following formula:

$$\text{CGR} = \frac{1}{P} \times \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

Where, W<sub>1</sub> and W<sub>2</sub> are dry matter production of plant at time t<sub>1</sub> and t<sub>2</sub>, respectively and P = Ground area.

#### **Relative growth rate (RGR) (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>)**

Relative growth rate of crop at time instant (t) is defined as the increase of dry matter production of crop per unit weight per unit time was calculated by following formula:

$$\text{RGR} = \frac{\ln W_2 - \ln W_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

Where,  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are dry matter production of plant at time  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , respectively.

### Net assimilation rate (NAR) ( $\text{g day}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$ )

Net assimilation rate indirectly indicates the rate of net photosynthesis.

$$\text{NAR} = \frac{(W_2 - W_1) \times (\ln L_2 - \ln L_1)}{(t_2 - t_1) \times (L_2 - L_1)}$$

Where,  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are dry matter production and  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are leaf area of plant at time  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , respectively.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results revealed that growth attributes of summer rice *i.e.* plant height, number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup> and chlorophyll content in leaves and growth indices *viz.*, leaf area index, crop growth rate, relative growth rate and net assimilation rate were significantly influenced by different treatments.

100 % RDN through urea *i.e.* 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> treatment ( $T_1$ ) recorded significantly taller plants and number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> at 30 DAT (Table 1), However, it remained at par with treatments  $T_2$  (75 % RDN + Seedling root dip in Nano urea at transplanting (TP) (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>)),  $T_5$  (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>)) and  $T_8$  (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>)). Plant height at 60 DAT was recorded significantly higher under the treatment  $T_1$ , but it was statistically at par with treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_8$  and  $T_9$ . Treatment  $T_8$  (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>)) noted significantly taller plants at 90 DAT and at harvest, but it remained statistically at par with treatments  $T_1$  (100 % RDN through urea (120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>)) and  $T_5$  (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>)). Number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> at 60 DAT was recorded higher with treatment  $T_1$  (100 % RDN through urea (120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>)) which remained at par with treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_8$ . Application of 75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (4 ml L<sup>-1</sup>) treatment ( $T_8$ ) produced significantly more number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> at 90 DAT, which was comparable with treatment  $T_1$  (Table 1).

Higher levels of nitrogen up to 75 % RDN with two sprays of liquid Nano urea at the active tillering and panicle initiation stages helped in increase the plant height and formation of more number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> could be attributed to the readily accessible nitrogen source delivered by Nano urea. Nano urea, a cornerstone of foliar Nanotechnology application, introduces Nano-sized nitrogen particles that offer a more substantial nutrient supply compared to traditional fertilizers resulting in improved nutrient absorption and transport mechanisms, leading to increased cell division and higher protein content in the cells, this heightened accessibility likely contributes to the augmented taller plant and more tiller count per plant. These findings are consistent with those reported by Rathnayaka *et al.* (2018), Rostaman *et al.* (2021), Midde *et al.* (2022) and Mallikarjuna and Kanavi (2023) who observed similar trends regarding plant height and tiller numbers in rice crop.

Dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1) was not altered remarkably due to various treatments of nitrogen management at 30 DAT in rice. However, significantly higher dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup> at 60 DAT was observed under the treatment  $T_1$  (100 % RDN through

urea ( $120 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ )), but it remained at par with treatments T<sub>5</sub> (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage ( $4 \text{ ml L}^{-1}$ )) and T<sub>8</sub> (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage ( $4 \text{ ml L}^{-1}$ )). Whereas treatment T<sub>8</sub> produced significantly higher dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup>, but it was comparable with treatment T<sub>1</sub> at 90 DAT and at harvest. The application of increased levels of nitrogen with foliar application of Nano urea fertilizer containing Nano-urea significantly boosted the accumulation of dry matter. This enhancement can likely be attributed to the increased effectiveness of Nano-urea fertilizers, owing to their larger surface area. The larger leaf areas enabled greater utilization of solar radiation and available nutrients, crucial for expanding the photosynthetic surface area. This, in turn, could have resulted in enhanced accumulation and translocation of photosynthates, ultimately boosting biomass production. These findings align with previous research conducted by Rostaman *et al.* (2021).

Chlorophyll content (SPAD meter readings) at 30 DAT in leaves of rice was noted significantly higher with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (100 % RDN through urea ( $120 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ )), but it remained at par with treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>. While, treatment T<sub>5</sub> (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering stage ( $4 \text{ ml L}^{-1}$ )) recorded significantly higher chlorophyll content at 60 DAT, but it was statistically at par with treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>. At 90 DAT, significantly higher chlorophyll content under the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage ( $4 \text{ ml L}^{-1}$ )), but it remained at par with treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>. At harvest, chlorophyll content values in rice was found non-significant (Table 2).

Application of 100 % RDN through urea ( $120 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ) treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) obtained significantly higher leaf area index at 60 DAT and it remained at par with treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>. While at 90 DAT, significantly higher leaf area index was noticed under the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage ( $4 \text{ ml L}^{-1}$ )), but it was comparable with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (100 % RDN through urea ( $120 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ )) (Table 2). The application of Nano urea during the various stages of rice improved the leaf area because the application of nitrogen through conventional fertilizer along with two foliar sprays of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stages ensures better nutrient absorption and penetration via leaves, promoting overall canopy development and leaf growth. Similar results were also recorded by Gewaily *et al.* (2019) and Navya *et al.* (2022).

Crop growth rate and relative growth rate during 30-60 DAT (Table 3) were recorded significantly higher with the application of 100 % RDN through urea ( $120 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ), but it was statistically at par with treatments T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>. Whereas, treatment T<sub>8</sub> (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage ( $4 \text{ ml L}^{-1}$ )) observed significantly higher crop growth rate during 60-90 DAT and 90 DAT-harvest, but it remained at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub>. While, in the case of relative growth rate during 60-90 DAT and 90 DAT-harvest was recorded significantly higher under treatment T<sub>8</sub> which was statistically at par with treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>.

A significantly higher net assimilation rate during 60-90 DAT was observed with the application of 75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage ( $4 \text{ ml L}^{-1}$ ) treatment (T<sub>8</sub>), but it remained at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> (Table 3). The measured growth indices namely CGR, RGR and NAR were found to be higher in treatment T<sub>8</sub> (75 % RDN + Spray of Nano urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage ( $4 \text{ ml L}^{-1}$ )). These results

suggest that Nano-urea can either provide nutrients for the plant or aid in the transport or absorption of available nutrients resulting in better crop growth. Nano-urea might have a synergistic impact on the conventional urea fertilizer for better nutrient absorption by plant cells, resulting in optimal growth and development of rice. These findings are in line with those found by Gewaily *et al.* (2019) and Dhamankar *et al.* (2023).

## CONCLUSION

In the light of the results obtained from two years investigation, it can be concluded that to obtain higher growth attributes *i.e.* plant height, number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup> and chlorophyll content in leaves and growth indices *viz.*, leaf area index, crop growth rate, relative growth rate and net assimilation rate of summer rice *cv.* GNR 3, the crop should be fertilized with 75% RDN (90 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and two sprays of Nano urea 4 ml L<sup>-1</sup> at tillering and panicle initiation stage. Additionally, it also replaced 25% of the requirement of nitrogen from conventional fertilizers.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2010). Directorate of Rice Research, Hyderabad. Rice Almanac India Bulletin, **50**: 6-99.
- Anonymous (2022). Directorate of agriculture. Retrieved from <https://dag.gujarat.gov.in/estimate.htm>.
- Brar, D. S. and Khush, G. S. (2018). Wild relatives of rice: A valuable genetic resource for genomics and breeding research. *In the wild oryza genomes, Springer*, pp: 1-25.
- Cochron, W. G. and Cox, G. M. (1962). *Experimental Design*. Second Ed. John Willey and Sons Inc.; New York.
- Dhamankar, C.; Mankar, D.; Pusdekar, V.; Mane, S.; Nakat, S. and Dodewar, P. (2023). The effect of Nano urea on growth of drilled paddy. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **12** (8): 441-443.
- Gewaily, E. E.; Ghoneim, A. M. and Khattab, E. A. (2019). Nano-silicon and nitrogen foliar spray affects the growth, yield and nutrients content of rice. *World Journal Agricultural Sciences*, **15** (6), 367-375.
- Manikandan, A. and Subramanian, K. S. (2016). Evaluation of zeolite based nitrogen Nanofertilizers on maize growth, yield and quality on inceptisols and alfisols. *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, **9** (4): 1-9.
- Midde, S. K.; Perumal, M. S.; Murugan, G.; Sudhagar, R.; Mattepally, V. S. and Bada, M. R. (2022). Evaluation of Nano-Urea on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, **11** (42): 211-214.
- Navya, K.; Kumar, R. S.; Chaitanya, A. K. and Sampath, O. (2022). Effect of Nano nitrogen in conjunction with urea on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in Northern Telangana Zone. *Biological Forum –An International Journal*, **14** (3): 95-99.
- Panase, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1978). *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. Pp: 145-152.

- Rathnayaka, R. M. N. N.; Mahendran, S.; Iqbal, Y. B. and Rifnas, L. M. (2018). Influence of urea and Nano-nitrogen fertilizers on the growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivar Bg 250. *International Journal of Research Publications*. **5** (2): 1-7.
- Rostaman, T.; Wibowo, H. and Nurjaya. (2021). The effects of Nano inorganic fertilizer application on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) productivity. 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Sustainable Tropical Land Management. *IOP Conferences Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, **648**: 1-9.
- Uddling, J.; Gelang-Alfredsson, J.; Piikki, K. and Pleijel, H. (2007). Evaluating the relationship between leaf chlorophyll concentration and SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter readings. *Photosynthesis Research*, **91**: 37-46.
- Watson, D. J. (1947). Comparative physiological studies on the growth of field crops. I. Variation in leaf area between species and varieties and within and between years. *Annals of Botany*, **2**: 41-76.
- Watson, D. J. (1952). The physiological basis of variation in yield. *Advances in agronomy*, **4**:101-145.

**Table 1: Periodical plant height, number of tillers and dry matter accumulation of rice at 30, 60, 90 DAT and at harvest as influenced by different treatments**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)				Number of tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>				Dry matter accumulation plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)			
	At 30 DAT	At 60 DAT	At 90 DAT	At Harvest	At 30 DAT	At 60 DAT	At 90 DAT	At Harvest	At 30 DAT	At 60 DAT	At 90 DAT	At Harvest
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	31.6	67.7	96.5	97.6	3.94	8.13	9.84	9.60	2.45	17.46	30.34	34.17
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	30.3	62.5	85.8	86.4	3.79	7.54	8.63	8.39	2.44	14.14	23.30	26.01
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	27.5	57.1	79.0	79.6	3.44	6.41	7.51	7.33	2.39	12.98	20.60	22.65
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	27.4	55.2	76.2	76.6	3.20	6.22	7.14	6.88	2.36	12.54	19.80	21.81
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	29.4	66.2	92.9	93.9	3.80	7.83	8.74	8.40	2.44	16.52	28.06	31.45
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	27.2	61.5	83.6	84.5	3.22	7.20	7.92	7.62	2.36	14.10	23.42	26.10
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	26.3	58.8	81.8	82.7	3.22	6.60	7.57	7.33	2.33	13.43	21.81	24.18
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	29.5	66.6	98.0	98.9	3.71	7.78	9.97	9.77	2.43	16.92	31.37	35.46
<b>T<sub>9</sub></b>	27.6	62.3	88.8	89.7	3.44	7.24	8.77	8.60	2.40	13.72	24.19	27.22
<b>T<sub>10</sub></b>	26.8	59.3	85.1	86.1	3.24	6.81	8.40	7.82	2.34	12.70	21.99	24.44
<b>T<sub>11</sub></b>	24.1	52.7	75.7	76.0	3.02	6.12	7.04	6.74	2.24	11.80	18.97	20.75
<b>T<sub>12</sub></b>	25.0	54.9	78.9	79.4	3.23	6.29	7.32	7.18	2.31	12.44	20.22	22.40
<b>SEm±</b>	0.9	1.99	2.84	2.84	0.11	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.07	0.46	0.76	0.81
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	2.6	5.66	8.11	8.10	0.32	0.64	0.67	0.73	NS	1.32	2.16	2.30

**Table 2: Periodical chlorophyll content and leaf area index (LAI) of rice as influenced by different treatments**

Treatments	Chlorophyll content (SPAD Value)				LAI	
	At 30 DAT	At 60 DAT	At 90 DAT	At Harvest	At 60 DAT	At 90 DAT
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	40.68	44.79	39.98	18.72	3.34	3.04
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	37.68	42.28	36.27	17.77	3.07	2.66
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	36.54	39.19	35.57	17.50	2.62	2.32
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	34.83	39.01	32.59	17.43	2.54	2.22
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	39.63	45.81	38.43	19.19	3.19	2.69
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	36.87	42.36	35.91	17.97	2.81	2.45
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	34.50	39.62	35.97	17.40	2.58	2.34
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	39.57	45.51	40.55	19.73	3.17	3.08
<b>T<sub>9</sub></b>	36.53	43.43	38.28	17.89	2.90	2.70
<b>T<sub>10</sub></b>	35.20	39.79	34.76	17.59	2.71	2.60
<b>T<sub>11</sub></b>	33.12	38.72	33.00	18.14	2.50	2.18
<b>T<sub>12</sub></b>	34.47	39.60	34.34	18.02	2.56	2.26
<b>SEm±</b>	0.89	1.02	0.82	0.52	0.09	0.08
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	2.54	2.90	2.33	NS	0.27	0.22

**Table 3: Crop growth rate (CGR), relative growth rate (RGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) as influenced by different treatments**

Treatments	CGR (g m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )			RGR (g g <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )			NAR (g m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )
	During 30-60 DAT	During 60-90 DAT	During 90 DAT- Harvest	During 30-60 DAT	During 60-90 DAT	During 90 DAT-Harvest	During 60-90 DAT
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	16.68	14.31	12.18	0.0655	0.0184	0.0040	0.000430
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	13.00	10.18	8.65	0.0584	0.0167	0.0037	0.000339
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	11.77	8.46	6.51	0.0565	0.0154	0.0032	0.000328
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	11.32	8.06	6.39	0.0557	0.0152	0.0032	0.000333
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	15.64	12.82	10.79	0.0637	0.0177	0.0038	0.000419
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	13.05	10.35	8.53	0.0596	0.0169	0.0036	0.000378
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	12.33	9.31	7.55	0.0582	0.0162	0.0035	0.000363
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	16.10	16.05	13.02	0.0646	0.0206	0.0041	0.000491
<b>T<sub>9</sub></b>	12.59	11.63	9.68	0.0581	0.0188	0.0039	0.000396
<b>T<sub>10</sub></b>	11.50	10.32	7.89	0.0563	0.0183	0.0035	0.000371
<b>T<sub>11</sub></b>	10.62	7.97	5.66	0.0552	0.0158	0.0030	0.000328
<b>T<sub>12</sub></b>	11.25	8.65	6.96	0.0561	0.0162	0.0034	0.000345
<b>SEm±</b>	0.52	0.49	0.53	0.0015	0.0006	0.0002	0.00002
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	1.50	1.41	1.52	0.0043	0.0017	0.0006	0.00006