

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_118956
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF BRISK WALKING AND YOGA INTERVENTIONS ON THE LEVEL OF HYPERTENSION AND CHOLESTEROL AMONG HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS IN SELECTED AREAS OF THE CITY.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript important for the scientific community</p> <p>2. A COMPARATIVE STUDY TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF BRISK WALKING AND YOGA INTERVENTIONS ON THE LEVEL OF BLOOD PRESSURE, CHOLESTEROL AND BLOOD GLUCOSE AMONG HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS IN SELECTED AREAS OF THE CITY.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Partially. Procedure should be added</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. References should be added</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vahide Semerci Çakmak</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tokat Gaziosmanpasa University, Turkey</b>