

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_119074</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Dilated Cardiomyopathy in the Cardiology Department of the CHU of Casablanca: Epidemiological Aspects</b>
Type of the Article	Retrospective observational study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The manuscript provides valuable insights into the epidemiological aspects of Dilated Cardiomyopathy in the Moroccan context, a subject with limited available data. However, due to unavailability, cardiac MRI was not done, which is a key investigation deciding aetiology. In addition, there is no mention of ARNI in the treatment section</p> <p>To enhance clarity, a slight revision could be considered: "Epidemiology of Dilated Cardiomyopathy at CHU Ibn Rochd, Casablanca: A One-Year Observational Study."</p> <p>Yes. This outlines the study's purpose, methodology, key findings, and main conclusions.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Additional references</p> <p><a href="#">Dilated cardiomyopathy: causes, mechanisms, and current and future treatment approaches</a>. Heymans S, Lakdawala NK, Tschöpe C, Klingel K. Lancet. 2023 Sep 16;402(10406):998-1011. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01241-2.</p> <p>Current Diagnostic and Treatment Strategies for Specific Dilated Cardiomyopathies: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association.</p> <p>Heart failure in cardiomyopathies: a position paper from the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology Eur J Heart Fail. 2019 May;21(5):553-576. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1461. Epub 2019 Apr 16. PMID: 30989768</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There are a few spelling and grammar mistakes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>U I Hewarathna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hereford County Hospital, United Kingdom</b>