

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJASS_118830 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | HEADS OF SCHOOL AND TEACHING COMMITMENT IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN KAGERA REGION, TANZANIA |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive, but in the abstract part line 10 the sentence "to investigate the relationship between the" not finished.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate, but in the introduction part paragraph 2, the last sentence is not clear" Among these challenges, the commitment of teachers plays a fundamental role in shaping the path of education within the region." And also, in the literature review part the second paragraph should have the title" types of leadership"</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient but most of them are before 5 years.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language of the article is good and suitable for scholarly communications.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The topic of the paper is very interesting; hence it is better to accept the paper with some Slight revision.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name: | Demelash Misgana Wase |
| Department, University & Country | Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, India |