

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_117273
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE COMPOSITION AND COMPARATIVE STUDY OF PHYTOCHEMICALS AND HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY (HPLC) PROFILING IN VARIOUS SOLVENT EXTRACTS OF ANTHOCLEISTA DJALONENSIS LEAVES.
Type of the Article	Original research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaprij.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, because the research showed different solvent for extraction</b></p> <p><b>Yes it is</b></p> <p><b>It must add the introduction at the abstract and the conclusion. Used "IMRAD" format for the abstract</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Need revision. Change simple grammar so that it is easier for laypeople to understand the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is good overall. However, the author has not explained local gin in detail. about how to make it and its contents so that it is polar. The author can add methods and discussions about local gin. Always keep your spirits up, Author.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nutrisia A Sayuti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Health Polytechnic of Surakarta, Indonesia</b>