

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_118557
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF ALARM FATIGUE AMONG NURSES WORKING IN THIKA LEVEL 5 HOSPITAL, KENYA.
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrnh.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>This manuscript provides an important insight into the issue of alarm fatigue in healthcare system. It highlights significant challenges that are faced by the nurses in managing clinical alarms and offers practical recommendations for mitigating alarm fatigue. The findings are valuable for informing policy, improving patient safety, and enhancing the effectiveness of healthcare technology, making it a valuable contribution to the scientific community focused on health technology and patient care quality.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, It highlights the main findings of the study, such as the frequency of alarms from different devices and the challenges in managing them. The trust in clinical alarms is also being noted.</p> <p>Yes, The subsections and structure of the study is organized and well defined. Each part effectively accomplishes its goal and adds to the study's thorough and understandable presentation. The arrangement of the paper makes it easier to grasp and highlights the importance of the conclusions and suggestions in relation to patient care and clinical practice.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in its approach, methodology, and analysis. The study design is appropriate for the research objectives. Data is also analyzed well, and the interpretation of results is aligned with clinical practice implications. However, a thorough evaluation of the complete manuscript, including details on ethical considerations, literature review, data integrity, and peer review, it is necessary to fully confirm its scientific correctness.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Neelam C Dey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sh. JJT University, India</b>