

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Geographical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_118670
Title of the Manuscript:	Terrain Analysis of Elements using LISS-IV Satellite image in Bhainsa region, northwestern part of Nirmal district, Telangana State, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJOGER/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The chosen field is important from a scientific point of view. The quality and the scientific soundness is fairly good.</li> <li>The title of the article is appropriate.</li> <li>The abstract of the article is clear and well established with clear and concise language.</li> <li>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. For a better understanding of the manuscript, the results and discussions should be separated. The discussions will be elaborated mentioning the related studies and the comparison of the results. A list of abbreviations should be made.</li> <li>From a scientific point of view the manuscript is correct.</li> <li>References are sufficient and recent. Citations in the text do not comply with the requirements of the journal's editorial guidelines.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>English quality need to be improved. The entire article should be checked for nor grammatical errors, punctuation, etc.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The subject is good, the approach is pragmatic and precise and the results are clear, well systematized and bring useful information to. I recommend publishing.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zagrai Gavrilă</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca, Saint Sylvester Secondary School, Romania</b>