

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_118965
Title of the Manuscript:	TOWARDS EFFECTIVE EDUCATIONAL GOVERNANCE: AN EVALUATION OF MANAGERIAL SKILLS OF PALAWAN STATE UNIVERSITY ADMINISTRATORS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript provides valuable empirical data and practical recommendations that can help improve the managerial effectiveness of educational administrators, making it a significant contribution to the scientific community, particularly in educational governance and management.</p> <p>Yes, the title effectively conveys the essence and scope of the study, making it appropriate and informative for potential readers.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract succinctly encapsulates the study's main points, offering a clear and complete overview that helps readers quickly grasp the research's essence and findings.</p> <p>The structure and subsections of the manuscript appear to be appropriate and well-organized. The manuscript follows a logical flow, which helps readers understand the progression from research questions to findings and recommendations. Each section is well-defined, contributing to the overall coherence of the document.</p> <p>The manuscript is well-structured and follows scientific principles in its research design, data collection, analysis, and interpretation. The study provides valuable insights into the managerial skills of school administrators and offers practical recommendations for improvement, making it a useful contribution to the field of educational management.</p> <p>The references in the manuscript exhibit a mix of older and newer sources, with a notable presence of references from the 2010s and early 2020s. Adding references from the last five years on modern educational management practices can provide a more current context. Some references are incomplete or incorrectly formatted. Some references are missing information, and there are discrepancies between the in-text citations and the reference list. The manuscript is better served if all references follow a consistent and complete format, preferably according to APA guidelines or referencing the journal's requirements.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language quality of the manuscript is generally suitable for scholarly communications. However, there are some issues that need addressing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Grammar and Syntax: the manuscript contains minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasings that could be improved for better readability. For example, sentences like <i>"This study used a researcher-made questionnaire to collect information about the managerial skills of the school administrators to the 41 regular faculty members"</i> can be rephrased to enhance clarity. There are inconsistencies in the citation styles and formatting within the text and reference list. For instance, some citations in the body of the text do not match the references listed, and some references lack complete information or proper formatting. Clarity and Precision: While the manuscript conveys the intended research and findings, some sentences are verbose and can be condensed for clearer communication. For example, <i>"Each school administrator has their own goals and objectives to enhance the school system and management"</i> could be simplified to <i>"Each school administrator aims to enhance the school system and management."</i> Technical Terms: The manuscript appropriately uses technical terms relevant to educational management, which is essential for scholarly communication. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hendri Pratama
Department, University & Country	Malaysia