

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_117211
Title of the Manuscript:	Behind The Glitz and Glamour: Experiences of Students in Pageantry Among Senior High School In Davao City
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. The title need to be rephrased. For example, "Behind The Glitz and Glamour: An Analysis on Experiences of Students in Pageantry and Davao City in Senior High School" 3. The abstract need modification. For example, aim of study does not seem to tarry with the topic suggested. Furthermore, instead putting an aim, he author can put an overview notes. Methodology notes need to check the tenses used and align it to the report standard. There is need to add at least 1 recommendation in the abstract. 4. Yes, except the author must stick to one font type and size throughout the document. 5. Yes 6. Yes, except some references used are not properly put, need modification or be removed if possible; 10, 17, 19, 21, & 23. <p>OTHER CONCERN, THERE IS NO SINGLE CITATION INSIDE THE TEXTS. AUTHOR TO CLARIFY ON THIS.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chanda Chansa Thelma
Department, University & Country	Chreso University, Zambia