

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_116833
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating Best Practices in Academic Writing: Suggestions for Argumentative Essay Writing Instructions at a University Level
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The research area is teaching methodology that is the need of the time.</p> <p>2. Title need revision ... suggestions for Instructors??? Clea</p> <p>3. It must include research methodology, criteria of analysis...</p> <p>4. The paper format is not according to the academic research standards. Abstract, Introduction, Literature Review, Research Method, Participants, Research Instruments and Data Collection, Findings, Conclusion etc. Paper must have the relevant headings according to the title and nature of research. Please mention your research methodology from A to Z.</p> <p>5. No... it must be restructured.</p> <p>6. Reference section must be revised focusing on the differences of book and article reference format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is OK.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The paper can be recommended for publication after revision and reconstructing the format as mentioned in No. 4.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Amjad
Department, University & Country	Pakistan