

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_116833
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating Best Practices in Academic Writing: Suggestions for Argumentative Essay Writing Instructions at a University Level
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is because an organized essay writing is very important in academic contexts.</p> <p>2. Yes, since all keywords are included in the title.</p> <p>3. Yes, because it covers the main idea(s) of the abstract.</p> <p>4. Yes, they are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, to some extent, there is one point that this manuscript are usually pointed out in textbooks.</p> <p>6. yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. yes, but I don't know which style of referencing the author has followed. That is, is it APA or MLA, etc?</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>For me, this manuscript cannot be published in an ISI journal since it lacks data collection. If the author provides some students' sample argumentative essays and point out the elements of an argumentative essay with statistical data analysis. Then that would have been deserved to be published in academic journal.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Akbar Jabbari
Department, University & Country	Yazd University, Iran