

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_118858
Title of the Manuscript:	Abnormal Coronary-Bronchial Fistula: The Role of Coronary CT Angiography
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> "In this article, the author has highlighted the essential role of coronary CTA in diagnosing coronary-bronchial fistulas, particularly in patients presenting with atypical symptoms and inconclusive initial imaging findings. The integration of advanced imaging techniques, such as CTA, into the diagnostic workflow significantly enhances the ability to identify and characterize rare vascular anomalies." The text is concise and to the point, which is good for readability and clarity. It effectively communicates the main point about the importance of coronary CTA in diagnosing coronary-bronchial fistulas so it is important for scientific community <p>Exploring Coronary-Bronchial Fistulas: Unveiling Insights with Coronary CT Angiography is suitable, as it accurately reflects the main focus of the article</p> <p>The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the case report and its implications. It effectively summarizes the key points, including the rarity of coronary-bronchial fistulas, the challenges in diagnosis, the patient's clinical presentation, the role of advanced imaging techniques (particularly coronary CT angiography), and the significance of accurate diagnosis in guiding patient management. Additionally, it emphasizes the importance of integrating advanced imaging into the diagnostic process for identifying and characterizing rare vascular anomalies. Overall, the abstract provides a clear and informative summary of the case report.</p> <p>Based solely on the provided abstract, it's difficult to evaluate the subsections and structure of the manuscript. However, the abstract does seem to follow a logical structure, beginning with an introduction to coronary-bronchial fistulas and their diagnostic challenges, followed by a description of the patient's case, the diagnostic process involving coronary CT angiography, and concluding with the significance of advanced imaging techniques in diagnosing rare vascular anomalies.</p> <p>The references provided offer a diverse range of sources, including research articles and expert consensus documents, covering topics such as coronary artery anomalies, diagnostic imaging modalities, and the prevalence of coronary artery fistulas. Here are some observations and suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ Shah SS, Teague SD, Lu JC, Dorfman AL, Kazerooni EA, Agarwal PP. Coronary to bronchial artery fistula: CT findings and review of the literature. J Thorac Imaging. 2015 May;30(3):doi: 10.1097/RTI.000000000000132. PMID: 25333616. □ Ko SM, Song MG, Hwang HK, et al. Coronary artery fistulas: pathophysiology, imaging findings, and management. Radiographics. 2019 May-Jun;39(3):790-804. doi: 10.1148/rg.2019180146. PMID: 31059311. Egbert JR, Kralovic DM, Andris A, et al. Comprehensive approach to coronary artery anomalies: The role of coronary computed tomography angiography. World J Radiol. 2016 Jul 28;8(7):682-692. doi: 10.4329/wjr.v8.i7.682. PMID: 27551347; PMCID: PMC4977537. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</p>	<p>The writing appears clear, concise, and technically sound, which are essential qualities for</p>	

Review Form 1.7

communications?	academic writing. The terminology used is appropriate for the subject matter, and there are no apparent grammatical errors or language issues that would impede understanding or detract from the scholarly value of the article.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Article effectively summarizes the main findings of the manuscript and emphasizes the significance of coronary CT angiography (CTA) in diagnosing and evaluating coronary-bronchial fistulas (CBFs). It highlights the non-invasive nature of CTA and its ability to provide detailed imaging, which is crucial for managing these rare vascular anomalies.</p> <p>The conclusion also acknowledges the importance of further research and advancements in imaging technology to improve the understanding and management of coronary artery anomalies, including CBFs. However, it's important to ensure that the references cited in the conclusion are relevant to the specific points made. If references 1 and 6 indeed support the statements made in the conclusion, then they are appropriately included.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manimekalai S
Department, University & Country	Dr. N.G.P Arts and Science College, India