

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_118299
Title of the Manuscript:	Interaction of Agriculture and Farm Mechanization: Paving the Path for Transformed Indian Agriculture- A case study of mechanized harvesting and threshing of Chickpea in India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Excellent manuscript for scientific progress and advancement of agriculture in India</p> <p>2. Yes, suitable. The title is quite well described the content of the study, including what was achieved (research results)</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is quite complete and able to describe the content abstractly</p> <p>4. Yes, the structure of the manuscript is appropriate, including introduction, methodology, results and discussion, as well as conclusions and references</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript has fulfilled scientific rules, namely research carried out with a quantitative approach</p> <p>6. No, and unfortunately, references are very minimal (< 30 references) and should use references with the most recent year (maximum last 5 years), then the reference must be added and take the latest reference</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is quite good and easy to understand as a scientific explanation</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the methodology it is necessary to add a detailed explanation The study area adds a map as a visualization and adds an explanation of the location administratively, district, province and others</p> <p>Sample size: Added a detailed explanation of the number of samples and specifications (clustering) as well as an explanation of why the number is the case</p> <p>Data analysis: Need a detailed explanation of data analysis methods used in research</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Yusuf
Department, University & Country	Cokroaminoto University, Indonesia