

# Evaluating the efficacy of selected insecticides on diamondback moth (*Plutellaxylostella*) damaging cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*)

---

## ABSTRACT:

A field investigation was carried out in *Rabi* season of 2022-2023 at the Central Research Farm (CRF), Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India to evaluate the efficacy of some selected pesticides on controlling diamondback moth that causes yield loss of cabbage. The experiment was laid in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with eight treatments each replicated thrice using a single variety Green Soccer. The treatments *viz.* NSKE 5% @50 ml/L, Spinosad 45% SC @0.35 ml/L, Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG @0.5 g/L, Neem oil 5% @50 ml/L, Dimethoate 30% EC @1 ml/L, Indoxacarb 14.5% SC @ 0.5 g/L, Cartap Hydrochloride 50% SP @0.5ml/L, and along with an untreated control was used against *Plutellaxylostella* in Cabbage. The overall mean data on larval population of diamond back moth over control on first and second spray revealed that all treatments were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments Spinosad 45% SC showed the lowest mean larval population (2.04 %), (0.93 %) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (2.22 %) (1.06 %), Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (2.66 %) (1.37 %), Cartap Hydrochloride 50% SP (3.20 %) (1.86 %), Dimethoate 30% EC (3.48 %) (2.02 %), and NSKE 5% (4.08 %) (2.33 %), Neem oil 5% (3.82 %), (2.37 %) and highest population was recorded in control (8.13 %), (7.73 %). The highest yield and cost benefit ratio recorded in Spinosad 45% SC (296 q/ha) (1:5.7) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (264 q/ha) (1:6.2), Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (249 q/ha) (1:5.0), Cartap Hydrochloride 50% SP (215 q/ha) (1:4.8) followed by Dimethoate 30% EC (194 q/ha) (1:4.4), Neem oil 5% (178 q/ha), (1:3.9) and NSKE 5% (170 q/ha) (1:3.8) and control (102 q/ha) (1:2.5). The information obtained in this study has the potential application for controlling diamondback moth and will be useful to the farmers and researchers.

**Key word:** Cabbage, Efficacy, Emamectin Benzoate, Indoxacarb, *Plutellaxylostella*, Spinosad.

---

## INTRODUCTION

“Following cauliflower in importance, cabbage is the second most important crop that originated in Europe and the Mediterranean area. In India, cabbage is one of the most widely

consumed winter veggies. *Brassica oleraceavarcapitata L.* is the Latin name for cabbage in vegetation. Following cauliflower in importance, cabbage is the second most important crop that originated in Europe and the Mediterranean area. In India, cabbage is one of the most widely consumed winter veggies. *Brassica oleraceavarcapitata L.* is the Latin name for cabbage in vegetation". [18]

The word "caboche," which in French refers to the vegetable's circular appearance, is where the English word "cabbage" originates. Wounds and sores were covered with cabbage leaves. It is claimed to be beneficial for those with diabetes and to aid with dyspepsia. (Maity et al., 2018).

"Widespread usage of cabbage in traditional medicine includes treating minor cuts and wounds, mastitis, and symptoms related to gastrointestinal disorders (peptic and duodenal ulcers, gastritis, irritable bowel syndrome)". (Rokayya et al., 2013).

Bowel cancer is prevented by cabbage because it contains indole-3-carbinol, which has anti-cancer properties. According to Kumar and Kumar (2020), this plant is recognised for its therapeutic qualities and has larger terminal buds that are a great source of calcium, phosphorus, sodium, potassium, sulphur, vitamin C, and dietary fibre. "In 100 grammes of cabbage, there are 25 grammes of calories, 0 grammes of fat, 18 mg of sodium, 0 mg of cholesterol, 170 grammes of potassium, 6 grammes of carbohydrates, 1.3 grammes of protein, 1% of vitamin A, 60% of vitamin C, 4% of calcium, 2% of iron, 5% of vitamin B6, and 3% of potassium". (Alexandra et al., 2020).

With a production share of 25.32 percent, West Bengal leads India in the production of cabbage globally with 2288.50 tonnes, followed by Orissa with 1058.78 tonnes, Madhya Pradesh with 686.91 tonnes, Bihar with 673.44 tonnes, and Uttar Pradesh with 302.97 tonnes (National Horticultural Board, 2017-2018).

"The insect-pests viz., diamond back moth (*Plutellaxylostella*Linnaeus), cabbage butterfly (*Pierisbrassicae*Linnaeus), tobacco caterpillar (*Spodopteralitura*Fabricius), cabbage semilooper (*Trichoplusiani*Hubner), aphid (*Brevicorynebrassicae*Linnaeus), painted bug (*Bagrada cruciferarum*Kirkaldy), cabbage leaf webber (*Crocidolomiabinotalis*Zeller), cabbage head borer (*Hellulaundalis*Fabricius), cabbage flea beetle (*Phyllotretacruciferae*Goeze) and Bihar hairy caterpillar (*Spilosomaobliqua*Walk) are frequently found on cabbage during various seasons and result in significant losses". (Patayet al., 2008).

“There is a two- to four-day range for the egg period (incubation time). The larva went through four distinct stages of development. With a total larval period of 7 to 12 days, the first, second, third, and fourth instar larvae lived for 2 to 3 days, 2 days, 1 to 3 days, and 2 to 4 days, respectively. One to two days and three to five days, respectively, were spent in the pre-pupal and pupal stages. Under laboratory conditions, the adults' lifespan ranged from 3 to 7 days, while the overall duration varied between 13 and 22 days”. (Harikaet *al.*, 2019). Estimated that the diamond back moth caused losses in commercial output of almost 52%. In the event of a significant diamondback moth infestation on cabbage, losses could exceed 80%. According to (Kumar and Kumar 2020), cabbage semiloopers caused 70.63 percent of the damage, with yield losses of up to 64–78 percent. *H. undalis* is a pest that causes havoc with cauliflower and cabbage. It is available all over India and around the world. Usually, reports of it concern mustard, radish, cauliflower, cabbage, and turnips.

## **Materials and Methods:**

The experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season 2022-23 at the Central Research Farm (CRF) of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India, in a Randomized Block Design with eight treatments replicated three times using a local variety in a plot size of (2m×1m) at a spacing of (45×30 cm) with a recommended package of practices excluding plant protection. The soil of the experimental site was well drained and medium high. The treatments used in this experiment were *viz.*, NSKE 5% (50 ml/lit), Spinosad 45% SC (0.35 ml/lit), Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (0.5 g/lit), Neem oil 5% (50 ml/lit), Dimethoate 30% EC (1 ml/lit), Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (0.5 g/lit), cartap hydrochloride 50% SP (0.5 ml/lit) and control. These treatments were applied in two sprays at a 15 days interval.

Five plants from each plot were randomly chosen and tagged to record the caterpillar population. Subsequently, the average of three replications was determined for every treatment, and the untreated plot underwent the same process. One day prior to spraying, as well as three, seven, and fourteen days following the application of insecticidal treatment, the *Plutellaxylostella* population was measured.

## **Benefit Cost Ratio:**

Net returns were used to evaluate each treatment's cost-effectiveness. The entire cost of the therapy was subtracted from the gross returns to get the net return for each treatment. Plant protection fees and cultivation are included in the total cost of production..

Gross return = Marketable Yield x Market price

Net return = Gross return – Total cost

$$\text{B: C Ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross return}}{\text{Total cost of cultivation}}$$

(Harikaet *al.*, 2019)

### **Result and Discussion:**

Following the first and second sprays, the results (Table 1) showed that every treatment outperformed the control by a significant margin. Data on the larval population of *Plutellaxylostella*, the cabbage diamond back moth, after three, seven, and fourteen days following the initial spray showed that all treatments were significantly better than control. Out of all the treatments, the plot treated with Spinosad 45% SC (2.04) recorded least larval population as compared to the remaining treatments followed by Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (2.22) and Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (2.66). Similarly, Cartap Hydrochloride 50% SP (3.20) recorded larval population followed by Dimethoate 30% EC (3.48), Neem oil 5% (3.82) and NSKE 5% (4.08).

Data on the larval population of *Plutellaxylostella*, the cabbage diamond back moth, at three, seven, and fourteen days following the second spray showed that all treatments were significantly better than control. Out of all the treatments, the plot treated with Spinosad 45% SC (0.93) recorded least larval population as compared to the remaining treatments followed by Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (1.06) and Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1.37). Similarly, Cartap Hydrochloride 50% SP (1.37), recorded larval population of followed by Dimethoate 30% EC (2.02), NSKE 5% (2.33) and Neem oil 5% (2.37).

There was a notable difference in yields between the various treatments. Every treatment outperformed the control. It was the largest yield ever recorded in Spinosad 45% SC (296 q/ha) followed by Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (264 q/ha), Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (249 q/ha), Cartap Hydrochloride 50% SP (215 q/ha), Dimethoate 30% EC (194 q/ha), Neem oil

5% (178 q/ha) and NSKE 5% (170 q/ha) as compared to control plot (102 q/ha). When cost benefit ratio was worked out, interesting result was achieved. Among the all treatments studied, the best and most economical treatment was Spinosad 45% SC (1:5.7) followed by Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (1:6.2), Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1:5.0), Cartap Hydrochloride 50% SP (1:4.8), Dimethoate 30% EC (1:4.4), Neem oil 5% (1:3.9), NSKE 5% (1:3.8) and, as compared to control plot (1:2.5).

All treatments, with the exception of the untreated control, are equally successful, according to data on the mean larval population of the first and second sprays. The lowest diamond back moth larval population across all treatments was found in Spinosad 45% SC (1.48).

Similar

findings made by **Venugopa et al., (2017)** and **Reddy et al., (2018)**. Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (1.645) is found to be the next best treatment which is in line with the findings of **Sujayet al., (2015)** and **Sharma et al., (2017)** they reported that Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (2.023) was found most effective in reducing larval population of diamond back moth as well as increasing the yield. Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (2.534) is found to be the next best treatment which is in line with the findings of **Stanikzi and Thakur (2016)** and **Sharma et al., (2017)**. Cartap Hydrochloride 50% SP (2.756) is found to be the next effective treatment which is in line with the findings of **Lal et al., (2021)** and **Bajpai et al., (2014)**. Dimethoate 30% EC (3.100) is found to be the next effective treatment which is in line with the findings of **Thilet et al., (2017)**.

The yields among the different treatments were significant. All the treatments were superior over control. The highest yield was recorded in Spinosad 45% SC (296 q/ha) similar findings of **Kumar and Kumar (2020)**, **Harika et al., (2019)**, followed by Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG (264 q/ha), These findings are supported by **Stanikzi and Thakur (2016)**, **Kommoji and Tayde (2022)**. Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (249 q/ha), similar findings of **Gaddamet et al., (2020)**.

### **Conclusion:**

The current study's findings demonstrated that Spinosad 45 SC followed by Emamectin benzoate 5 SG, Indoxacarb 14.5SC, Cartap hydrochloride 50SP and Dimethoate 30 EC, are the most effective treatments against diamond back moth, *Plutella xylostella* of cabbage and generated the highest yield and the highest Cost-Benefit ratio. NSKE 5% and Neem oil 5% found to be the least effective in controlling the diamond back moth, *Plutella xylostella* in cabbage.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## References:

1. **Alexandra, S. I. M. and Andrea Daniela, O. N. A. (2020).** Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.). Overview of the health benefits and therapeutical uses. *Hop and Medicinal Plants*, 1(2):150-169.
2. **Bajpai, N. K., Swami, H., and Ameta, K. D. (2014).** Bio-efficacy of tolfenpyrad against diamond back moth (*Plutellaxylostella*Linn.) infesting cabbage. *Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences (An International Journal)*, 6(1): 79-81.
3. **Gaddam, N. R., Srivastava, V. K., Tayde, A. R and Tripathi, A. (2020).** Comparative efficacyof microbials and botanicals against diamond back moth (*Plutella xylostella*Linn) oncabbage.*Journalof Entomologyand Zoology Studies*,9(1): 497-499.
4. **Harika, G., Dhurua, S, Suresh, M. and Sreesandhya, N. (2019)** Evaluation of Certain Insecticides against Diamond back Moth (DBM) *Plutellaxylostella*on Cauliflower. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 10(1):070-076.

5. **Kommoji, T., and Tayde, A. R. (2022).** Efficacy of newer selected Insecticides, *Beaveria bassiana* and Neem oil against Diamond back moth (*Plutellaxylostella*) (L.) in Cabbage (*Brassica oleraceavarcapitata*). *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, 34(20): 485-491.
6. **Kumar, A. N. and Kumar, A. (2020).** Comparative efficacy of certain chemicals with biopesticides against diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella* (L.) in cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* (L.). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 8(6): 1350-1353.
7. **Lal, J., Swaminathan, R., Meena, A. K. and Nagar, R. (2021).** Field efficacy of biorational insecticides against major pestiferous insects of cabbage. *International Journal of Pest Management*, 1-7.
8. **Maity, L., Padhi, G. and Samanta, A. (2018).** Population dynamics and management of diamond back moth *Plutellaxylostella* (L.) in cabbage ecosystem of West Bengal. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(1): 381-385.
9. **National Horticultural Board, 2017-2018.**
10. **Patait, D. D., Shetgar, S.S., Subhan, S., Badjugar, A. G. and Dhurgude, S. S. (2008).** Seasonal abundance of lepidopteran pests infesting cabbage in relation to weather parameters. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 70(3): 255-258.
11. **Reddy, M. S., Sandeep K. S., Arjun, S. and Singh, N. N. (2018)** Bio-efficacy of different novel insecticides and their interaction between numbers of sprays against diamond back moth (*Plutellaxylostella*L.) infesting cabbage. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 42 (1): 51- 56 (2018).
12. **Rokayya, S., Li, C. J., Zhao, Y., Li, Y. and Sun, C. H. (2013).** Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.var.capitata) Phytochemical with Antioxidant and Anti – inflammatory potential. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 14(11): 6657-6662.
13. **Sharma, P., Kumawat, K. C., Khinchi, H. C., Kumar. V. and Prasad, B. (2017)** Bio efficacy of different insecticides against diamond back moth; *International Journal of Chemical studies*, 5(3): 891-83.
14. **Stanikzi, R. and Thakur, S. (2016)** Efficacy of chemical insecticides and botanicals in the management of diamond back moth (*Plutellaxylostella*) in cabbage (*Brassica oleraceavar. capitata*L.) *International Journal of*

*Multidisciplinary Research and Development*, 3(6): 101- 104.

15. **Sujay, Y. H., Giraddil, R. S and Udikeri, S. S. (2015)** Efficacy of new molecules and botanicals against cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*L.) Pests. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 102(10-12): 348- 352.
16. **Thil, B., Aung, K., and Myint, T. (2017).** Study on the effect of different insecticides against the diamond back moth *Plutellaxylostella*L. (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae) in cabbage. In *proceedings of the fifth agricultural research conference, yezin agricultural university, nay pyi taw, myanmar*, (100-106).
17. **Venugopal, U., Kumar, A., Satish, K and Ramya, V. (2017).** Efficacy of certain insecticides against diamond back moth (*Plutellaxylostella*L.) on cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* Var. *Capitata*L,) *Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute*, 10(6):1612-1616.
18. Vaishnavi M, Tayde AR. Efficacy of Certain Insecticides and Biopesticides against Dimondback Moth *Plutellaxylostella* (Linnaeus) on Cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* (Linnaeus). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2022 Aug 27;34(22):1071-6.

**Table: 1 Efficacy of selected chemicals and neem oil against **Diamond** back moth, *Plutellaxylostella* on cabbage.**

S.No	Treatments	Doses	Larval population of <i>Plutellaxylostella</i>										Yield	C:B ratio
			First spray					Second spray						
			1DBS	3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean	1DBS	3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean		
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	—	4.93	8.00 <sup>a</sup>	8.26 <sup>a</sup>	8.13 <sup>a</sup>	8.13 <sup>a</sup>	8.13 <sup>a</sup>	7.53 <sup>a</sup>	7.93 <sup>a</sup>	7.73 <sup>a</sup>	7.73 <sup>a</sup>	102	1:2.5
T <sub>1</sub>	NSKE 5%	50ml/L	5.20	4.53 <sup>a</sup>	3.60 <sup>b</sup>	4.13 <sup>b</sup>	4.08 <sup>b</sup>	4.13 <sup>b</sup>	2.66 <sup>bc</sup>	2.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.33 <sup>bc</sup>	2.33 <sup>bc</sup>	170	1:3.8
T <sub>2</sub>	Spinosad 45% SC	0.35ml/L	5.00	2.53 <sup>f</sup>	1.73 <sup>e</sup>	1.86 <sup>e</sup>	2.04 <sup>f</sup>	1.86 <sup>e</sup>	1.20 <sup>f</sup>	0.66 <sup>e</sup>	0.93 <sup>f</sup>	0.93 <sup>f</sup>	296	1:5.7
T <sub>3</sub>	Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG	0.5g/L	4.80	2.73 <sup>ef</sup>	1.80 <sup>e</sup>	2.13 <sup>e</sup>	2.22 <sup>f</sup>	2.13 <sup>e</sup>	1.33 <sup>f</sup>	0.80 <sup>e</sup>	1.06 <sup>ef</sup>	1.06 <sup>ef</sup>	264	1:6.2
T <sub>4</sub>	Neem oil 5%	50ml/L	5.06	4.20 <sup>bc</sup>	3.33 <sup>b</sup>	3.93 <sup>b</sup>	3.82 <sup>bc</sup>	3.93 <sup>b</sup>	2.73 <sup>b</sup>	1.93 <sup>b</sup>	2.46 <sup>b</sup>	2.37 <sup>b</sup>	178	1:3.9
T <sub>5</sub>	Dimethoate 30% EC	1ml/L	5.06	3.93 <sup>cd</sup>	3.00 <sup>c</sup>	3.53 <sup>c</sup>	3.48 <sup>cd</sup>	3.53 <sup>c</sup>	2.40 <sup>cd</sup>	1.60 <sup>c</sup>	2.06 <sup>cd</sup>	2.02 <sup>cd</sup>	194	1:4.4
T <sub>6</sub>	Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	0.5g/L	4.53	3.00 <sup>e</sup>	2.26 <sup>d</sup>	2.73 <sup>d</sup>	2.66 <sup>e</sup>	2.73 <sup>d</sup>	1.73 <sup>e</sup>	1.06 <sup>d</sup>	1.33 <sup>e</sup>	1.37 <sup>e</sup>	249	1:5.0
T <sub>7</sub>	Cartap Hydrochloride 50% SP	0.5ml/L	4.80	3.60 <sup>d</sup>	2.80 <sup>c</sup>	3.20 <sup>c</sup>	3.20 <sup>d</sup>	3.20 <sup>c</sup>	2.26 <sup>d</sup>	1.46 <sup>c</sup>	1.86 <sup>d</sup>	1.86 <sup>d</sup>	215	1:4.8
	F- test	-	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		
	CD.at 0.05%		-	0.42	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.37	0.29	0.20	0.28	0.35		
	S. Ed. (±)		1.34	0.62	0.89	0.74	0.72	0.74	0.69	0.81	0.52	0.63		

**DBS- Day Before Spraying, DAS- Day After Spraying, NS-Non-significant, S-Significant**

UNDER PEER REVIEW