

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_ IJPSS_118045
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and Comparative Analysis of Soil Fertility Status of Suwana block of Bhilwara District of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is sufficient enough to tell the readers about the purpose of this research but will be more attractive if supported with image from the soil samples itself. 2. The word "characterization" means there is wide variable of parameters to analyze but it feels need more detailed explanation and another parameter (e.g. Carbon (C) percentages, Soil Classification, etc.). 3. Too general and need more explanation. 4. Appropriate enough but each paragraph must be included with subtitle if there is no connection with previous paragraph. Also, using 2 (two) different fonts is considered unsuitable. 5. Need more data and explanation, especially in a matter of each step that the writers do in his / her research. 6. Need wider source of dicipline to support the writer's background and reasons in doing this research. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Suitable but can be done more neatly. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Albert Allowenda Sukatendel
Department, University & Country	University of North Sumatera, Indonesia