

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_118198
Title of the Manuscript:	The Environmental Conservation Strategies used in Secondary Schools Align with Guidelines of United Nations Environmental Program: Evidence from a cross-sectional study in a City Setting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes The manuscript has important aspects for scientific sensitization percolation to the society and recognition of their initiative.</p> <p>No. It is a case study and accordingly title may be modified</p> <p>Abstract of article is lengthy. It may be suitably edited by the authors.</p> <p>Yes The manuscript appears scientifically correct but is lengthy like a report. It has also some errors in interpretation like 25% death caused due to preventable environmental risks, firewood burning generates green house gas, etc.</p> <p>References should be reduced. Irrelevant references may be removed like the references cited for various climate change trends. This is required to reduce the size of manuscript and focus objectively on the work carried out.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language is lucid but manuscript should be made more compact	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript is well written and has relevant discussion in justified manner but it is looking like a report. Its size should be reduced and objectivity should be enhanced. The manuscript body text heading and numbering are not aligned like section 3.8 comes after section 2.3 and so on. The authorss should try to restrict the word count to about 4000 to make the manuscript more focused.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arvind Kumar Jha
Department, University & Country	India