

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJEBA_118057
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INFLUENCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY IN RESOURCES UTILIZATION ON PUBLIC SECTOR FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM TANZANIA
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) Yes, the manuscript is important based on my own assessment of the quality.</p> <p>2) The titles suits the manuscript</p> <p>3) It is good, but I suggested corrections on vague abbreviation and contribution of the research should be included in the abstract as well</p> <p>4) They are appropriate</p> <p>5) Relatively Correct</p> <p>6) They are enough for the extent of the research carried out</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Well, the English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	But, with few suggested corrections or missing words and improper words which the reviewer made in BRACKETS and words to be replaced underlined, if considered necessary.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ugwu Ikechukwu Virginus</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chukwu Emeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Nigeria</b>