

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JAMMR_118046
Title of the Manuscript:	Adverse Events of the use of SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript contributes valuable insights into the safety profile of SGLT2 inhibitors, which is essential for advancing medical knowledge and improving treatment strategies for heart failure patients</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article is mostly comprehensive, covering key aspects such as background, objective, methodology, results, and conclusion</p> <p>This manuscript format provided all necessary information in a logical sequence, enhancing the manuscript's clarity and impact</p> <p>Yes it is scientifically correct</p> <p>References are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language quality suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It may add knowledge to the existing literature</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arindam Naskar
Department, University & Country	School of Tropical Medicine, India