

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_118577 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Study on genetic variability and heritability in F3 population of yard long bean (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> subsp. <i>sesquipedalis</i> (L.) Verdcourt) for yield and its components |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1.- Yes.</p> <p>2.- Yes.</p> <p>3.- Yes.</p> <p>4.- The structure is correct. Introduction, materials and methods, results and conclusions. Abbreviations should be explained or placed on a table. For better reading, it is recommended to differentiate the titles with a larger font size or another type, such as numbering them.</p> <p>5.- Check separations, double points, spaces, there are typographical errors. Mention should be made in the text of the article to Figures 1 and 2, they are only named in the caption and not in the text. It is recommended to increase the left indentation of the text, since the right one is larger and that cannot be.</p> <p>6.- A correct presentation of references is carried out, therefore, it is advisable that the references are no more than 8-10 years old, except for one or two if their use is extremely important. A few more references could be recommended, up to 40 or so. Correct separations, periods, commas. Unify the references, some have a structure and others, the structure is different. Recommended: Author, year, title, magazine and pages. When writing them with numbers, they must be in that order in the text of the article. If this is not possible, it is recommended not to put numbers, if not, write the first author.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | -yes | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>- Typographical errors to be corrected. Spaces after periods, hyphens, separations...</p> <p>- It is interesting to comment that the study will continue. As a tip, you can add a section, Analysis of the limitations of the study.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Name: | Noe Anes Garcia |
| Department, University & Country | University Oviedo, Spain |