

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety |
| Manuscript Number: | Original Manuscript_EJNFS_118004 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION OF "OSE-OKU", A TRADITIONAL FOOD OF ABATETE IN ANAMBRA STATE |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes, this manuscript mentions the nutritional composition of "Ose-Oku", a traditional food of Abatete, Nigeria. "Ose-Oku" is a traditional food is highly nutritious and has been used as an emergency food during the Biafra-Nigeria civil war. However, this precious traditional diet is currently going into extinction. It is imperative that scientists analyze the nutrients of this food to expose the benefits of Ose-Oku.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes. However, I would like to ask the author to add a sentence of the importance of this study into the abstract. It would be even better if the author mentions the benefits of this research to the Nigerian people or other similar cultures in the world.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes, except for a few corrections. Comments are given in the manuscript.</p> <p>There are only few language errors such as "has been extincted" should be corrected to "has become extinct".</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Name: | Ling-Yea Yu |
| Department, University & Country | National Taiwan University, Taiwan |