

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_116792
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of T-Cell Therapy: An Enormous Breakthrough in the Fight against Cancer
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.This manuscript is relevant to scientific community however needs specific details and more research adding to the knowledge. 2.The title can be: The role of T- cell therapy as novel cancer agents in Oncology: review of the literature. 3.The article is comprehensive but as the title suggests – it should highlight the importance of T cell therapy in more details rather just touching the surface only. 4.Subsections should be dedicated to T cell therapy and more details to be added to each section. 5.The manuscript is correct scientifically but little lagging behind the current innovative research and discoveries in the field of Oncology. 6. More references should be taken from the recent articles to emphasize the latest research. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Grammatical mistakes were found but can be corrected. There is use of simple English language so easy to understand.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is relevant in the current scenario however needs more details that can give more comprehensive picture of today's innovative therapies in cancer treatment.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruchi Yadav
Department, University & Country	Brookdale University Hospital & Medical Centre, New York