

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_116636
Title of the Manuscript:	Establishment of Tapping Technique in Indian Ash Tree, Jhingam (<i>Lannea coromandelica</i>) (Houtt) (Merr) and Arjun (<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>) (Roxb) in Pakhanjure Uttar Bastar Kanker Region of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes it is. Very important for industrial process and production</p> <p>No. Because it should have been: Comparative analysis of Tapping Technique in Indian Ash Tree (<i>Lannea coromandelica</i>) and Arjun (<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>) in Pakhanjure Uttar Bastar Kanker Region of Chhattisgarh. Yes, needed little amendment.</p> <p>Yes but to an extent. I have made some comment that will help the author fix those minor errors.</p> <p>It is but the use of scientific writing must be employed for easy understanding of the work. They are not. The author should look at the work done by: Standardized gum tapping techniques to maximize yield from high-value Indian tree, <i>Sterculia urens</i></p> <p>September 2016 Journal of Forestry Research 28(3) DOI:10.1007/s11676-016-0315-1</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes it is</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Good</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ukoro Frank O
Department, University & Country	Nigeria