

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_117228
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantum Signal Processing: Strengthening Cyber Defense
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It's a good work but it is a review of the QSP in Cyber defence. Through reading the manuscript I realized that the author first reviewed the tools and make a comparison between them without testing the tools by him/themselves. 2. I suggested to be changed to: (Quantum Signal Processing in Strengthening Cyber Defence: A comparative study). 3. The abstract is not comprehensive, and the author/s should re-write the abstract. Especially the Discussion part in the abstract. 4. The structure is not bad, in general. 5. I think, its my opinion, in review and comparative studies the author/s should show some numerical values as the author/s said that the Quantum Signal Processing is applicable in strengthening cyber defence. This statement needs to be proved. 6. Although the used references are all new, but still the author/s may add new references to the list. 	<p>For my comments, I add my comments in the manuscript for the author/s.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Reviewing the English language is required.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hazha Saeed Yahia
Department, University & Country	Knowledge University, Iraq