

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_118623
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficiency of Bark Extract of Azadirachta indica on Improving Ruminant Ammonia – Nitrogen Utilization in Ruminants In vitro
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>2. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? comprehensive.</p> <p>3. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>4. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?.</p> <p>5. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. References are right and sufficient.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Choice of bark and ruminants are highly appreciated. And research methods are also suitable as control, 100, 200 and 400 gms have been in research experimental methods are extremely good.</p> <p>Yes, it is need of the day to select research of neem bark and used it is best.</p> <p>Is appropriate. Efficiency of Bark Extract of Azadirachta indica on Improving Ruminant Ammonia – Nitrogen Utilization in Ruminants In vitro</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Sub sections are also well written.</p> <p>Correct</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The common but essential bark is taken for the experiment but done well.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T.R.Shantala Priyadarshini
Department, University & Country	University of Latvia, India