

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_118774
Title of the Manuscript:	Any influence of social class and educational level of orthodontic patients on their satisfaction with treatment duration, perception and attitude toward accelerated orthodontics?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. First and foremost, I believe that in orthodontics, acceleration of tooth movement should be a mandatory subject of study, as longer treatment duration generates numerous unpleasant side effects. As a result, I want to thank the authors for their valuable work.</p> <p>2. I would like to suggest another title that I think it is more suitable. "Does socioeconomic class and educational level of orthodontic patients have any impact on their satisfaction with treatment duration, perception and attitude toward accelerated orthodontics?"</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes and it is well written</p> <p>6. yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In the introduction section: not all methods of acceleration were mentioned as PRP (Platelet Rich Plasma) for example. However, this has no effect on the quality of the manuscript.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Safa Basiouny Alawy
Department, University & Country	Tanta University, Egypt