

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_118743
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of age and marital status of orthodontic patients on their satisfaction with duration of treatment, perception and attitude towards accelerated orthodontics
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. The topic is novel and limited literature is available. Future prospects need to be explored further on a larger sample size</b></p> <p><b>Title modification required. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of accelerated orthodontics in young adults: An Questionnaire based survey</b></p> <p><b>More detailing about accelerated orthodontics to be incorporated</b></p> <p><b>Has the questionnaire been validated? Age limit is not clearly defined. Methodology lacks clarity</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Requires modification	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Methodology should be reviewed and reframed. Whether informed consent was taken from the subjects. Sample size calculation method not mentioned. What about the confidentiality of the data obtained from the subjects? IEC Approval was granted or not?	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Garima Asthana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Manav Rachna Dental College, India</b>