

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJRRGA_118073
Title of the Manuscript:	What Lies Beneath – Malakoplakia. A Rare Submucosal Lesion of the Colon
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, It is 1. Comprehensive review of a rare condition with relevant references. 2. Detailed case presentation with clinical, histological, and endoscopic findings. 3. Clear structure with well-defined sections: Abstract, Introduction, Case Presentation, Discussion, and Conclusion. 4. Accurate and specific use of medical terminology. 5. Inclusion of images and figure legends to support the case report.</p> <p>However, it has the followings as areas of weakness</p> <p>1. Minor grammatical and punctuation errors. 2. Redundancy in some parts of the text. 3. Slightly disorganized presentation of the discussion section, making it difficult to follow. 4. Lack of clarity in some sentences, which could be confusing for readers. 5. Some references could benefit from more recent literature if available.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, with exceptions mentioned above</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>My suggestion for each point of weakness as follows:</p> <p>A. MINOR GRAMMATICAL AND PUNCTUATION ERRORS</p> <p>1- "MALAKOPLAKIA WITHIN THE GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT HAS BEEN OBSERVED A CO-EXISTENCE WITH COLORECTAL ADENOCARCINOMA HOWEVER NO DIRECT CAUSAL ASSOCIATION HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED."</p> <p>Correction suggestion</p> <p>- MALAKOPLAKIA WITHIN THE GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT HAS BEEN OBSERVED IN COEXISTENCE WITH COLORECTAL ADENOCARCINOMA; HOWEVER, NO DIRECT CAUSAL ASSOCIATION HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED.</p> <p>2- "THERE WAS NO HISTORY OF IMMUNE SUPPRESSION, AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE OR CHRONIC INFECTION."</p> <p>CORRECTION suggestion</p> <p>- THERE WAS NO HISTORY OF IMMUNE SUPPRESSION, AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE, OR CHRONIC INFECTION."</p> <p>B. REDUNDANCY IN SOME PARTS OF THE TEXT</p> <p>1- "MALAKOPLAKIA IS GENERALLY THOUGHT TO BE A BENIGN CONDITION WITH NO SPECIFIC FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED IN THE GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT."</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>CORRECTION suggestion</p> <p>- "MALAKOPLAKIA IS GENERALLY CONSIDERED A BENIGN CONDITION WITH NO SPECIFIC FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED IN THE GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT."</p> <p>C. SLIGHTLY DISORGANIZED PRESENTATION OF THE DISCUSSION SECTION</p> <p>1- "GASTROINTESTINAL MALAKOPLAKIA IS THE SECOND MOST COMMON ORGAN SYSTEM INVOLVED THOUGH STILL AN UNCOMMON ENTITY WITH A LIMITED NUMBER OF CASES REPORTED IN THE LITERATURE, LIKELY DUE TO UNDER RECOGNITION AND UNDER REPORTING. THE DIAGNOSIS CAN BE CHALLENGING DUE TO ITS NONSPECIFIC CLINICAL PRESENTATION AND RARITY."</p> <p>CORRECTION suggestion</p> <p>-"GASTROINTESTINAL MALAKOPLAKIA, WHILE THE SECOND MOST COMMON SITE OF INVOLVEMENT, REMAINS AN UNCOMMON ENTITY WITH LIMITED CASES REPORTED IN THE LITERATURE, LIKELY DUE TO UNDERRECOGNITION AND UNDERREPORTING. THE DIAGNOSIS CAN BE CHALLENGING DUE TO ITS NONSPECIFIC CLINICAL PRESENTATION AND RARITY."</p> <p>D. Lack OF CLARITY IN SOME SENTENCES</p> <p>1- "MALAKOPLAKIA IN THE GENITOURINARY TRACT HAS BEEN REPORTED IN CO-EXISTENCE WITH UROTHELIAL CARCINOMA, MALT LYMPHOMA, AND PROSTATE CANCER [1,4]."</p> <p>CORRECTION suggestion</p> <p>-"MALAKOPLAKIA IN THE GENITOURINARY TRACT HAS BEEN REPORTED IN COEXISTENCE WITH UROTHELIAL CARCINOMA, MALT LYMPHOMA, AND PROSTATE CANCER."</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Waheeb Al-Kubati
Department, University & Country	21st September University, Yemen