

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_118761
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIC RISK AGILITY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EDIBLE OIL MANUFACTURING COMPANIES IN KENYA AT PWANI OIL PRODUCTS LIMITED, KENYA
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Not really. The information in the manuscript is not clearly discussed.</p> <p>2. The new proposed title is as below: "STRATEGIC RISK AGILITY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF EDIBLE OIL MANUFACTURING COMPANIES IN KENYA"</p> <p>3. The proposed objective is unclear, which using "focused". The discussion of methodology is unclear as well. No listing the findings and discussion in the abstract.</p> <p>4. The proposed subsection is as below: Introduction, literature review (DV, IVs, underlying theory, hypotheses development), methodology, results, discussion, conclusion.</p> <p>5. Not really.</p> <p>6. Not sufficient. Author should enrich the content of literature review.</p> <p>- The author should send the paper for proofreading and ensure that the citation format used is correct and that all cited sources are listed in the reference list.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Author should send the paper for proofreading.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Author should send the paper for proofreading.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Winnie Wong Poh Ming</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Business and Management, University of Technology, Malaysia</b>