

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JESBS_117721</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Exploring Corporate Implosions: Analyzing Triggers, Identifying Signals, and Implications for Private Universities in Africa – Lessons and Intervention Strategies.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper based on literature review</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The work is interesting</p> <p>Okay , acceptable</p> <p>Still needs some improvement ,particularly in delineating a specific segment to underscore the implications and practical applications of the study</p> <p>Okay , Acceptable</p> <p>This part is not clear in the paper , as this is literature based study.</p> <p>No , They should cite papers from high impact journals and recent ones.</p> <p>Authors can get a better idea from work as:</p> <p><a href="#">Tarei, P.K.</a> and <a href="#">Kumar, S.</a> (2022), "Benchmarking the assessment of barriers to the admission of management education in India during the COVID-19 pandemic", <i>Benchmarking: An International Journal</i>, Vol. 29 No. 7, pp. 2231-2257. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-04-2021-0215">https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-04-2021-0215</a></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It is recommendable for authors to check the compliance of the paper structure according to the journal guidelines.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santosh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Jaipuria Institute of Management, India