

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JESBS_117721
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Corporate Implosions: Analyzing Triggers, Identifying Signals, and Implications for Private Universities in Africa – Lessons and Intervention Strategies.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This article contributes in empirical & theoretical literature especially in prospects of educational institutions. This study also supports theoretical evidences based on 5Ps & SWOT analysis. This study motivates educational institutions' management to disclose financial information for the identification of Triggers via identification of Signals which enables to indentify corrupt practices if any or funds are being applied in right or wrong manners. Such contributions make this study as important for scientific community. 2. In my suggestion, as remarkable effort has been done for this research, title should not be revised, title is suitable & complete in all prospective of this study. 3. Authors try their best to structure abstract in comprehensive manners that cover all aspect of this study. 4. I appreciate author for writing all sections in order which enables me to read, review, & remark to this study accordingly. In addition I would appreciate editors if can be added as per structure of this study in accordance to their opinion & policy. 5. This manuscript is scientifically sound. 6. References are suitable, recent & enough as per body of this study. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I appreciate author (s) who write this manuscript in Quality of English Language which enables the scholars to read, communicate, & understand its contents.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This study admits in Research Limitations that authors are unable to cover recent developments in IT based structural reforms which are being made day by day & already been adopted during COVID-19 especially by private institutions for ONLINE EDUCATION, thus up to what extent this study is useful for stakeholders?</p> <p>In addition, no specific reason for the selection of PRIVATE why not GOVT INSTITUTIONS based in Africa, could this study be biased on such selection?</p> <p>I appreciate this work but please answer above questions in positive manners that would establish recent & sound methodology that could cover updated literature & in accordance research can be free from any specific biasness.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aon Waqas
Department, University & Country	Pakistan