

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_118637
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Common Non -Obstetric Acute Abdominal Conditions in Pregnancy: An Update
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript idea is good but not sufficient to give an update of review. I suggest to specifically stated about the update of area such as diagnosis or management or guidelines to emphasize the importance of this manuscript to scientific community</p> <p>Review on Common Non-Obstetric Acute Abdominal Conditions in Pregnancy: An Update of management</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>This manuscript uses several outdated sources, which have been published more than 10 years ago. I believe citing reliable primary sources with the most current publications is the best choice.</p> <p>Obstetricians and surgeons frequently have different perspectives on how to treat non-obstetric acute abdominal conditions. This leads to suboptimal patient management, which leads to complications of diseases. As an obstetrician, I hope that more articles addressing appropriate indications of surgical management in non-obstetrics acute abdominal conditions will be published. This issue is crucial to assess the prognosis of pregnancy and avoid threatened abortion or preterm delivery following acute abdominal surgery.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I suggest minor revision in writing quality</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stefani Harum Sari
Department, University & Country	Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia