

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_118195
Title of the Manuscript:	AN APPLICATION OF RESIDUE NUMBER SYSTEM (RNS) TO NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (SOLiD)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Change of title suggested. AN APPLICATION OF RESIDUE NUMBER SYSTEM (RNS) TO A NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING METHOD</p> <p>Abstract is okay.</p> <p>Subsections are NOT appropriate.</p> <p>The paper is scientific.</p> <p>The references need to be more specific to locate them. For example [2], [6], [8], [13], [16], [21]</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language is okay and acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The sections should be re-organised to follow: introduction, related works, methodology, results and discussion, conclusion, references. The design-flow algorithm should be put in a box and labelled Algorithm X. Methodology section is not clear as to steps needed to replicate this work. How was the Design-Flow algorithm used? Figure 4 is not explained.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	O. Osunade
Department, University & Country	University of Ibadan, Nigeria

Review Form 1.7