

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_118786
Title of the Manuscript:	Kitchen Layout Design and Individual Work Performance of Eatery in Davao Del Norte, Philippines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Abstract : Descriptive- correlational research design. There is no such research design. If yes, cite the source.</p> <p>If 207 is the population/employee, how many samples were taken out of 207 through a simple random sampling technique.</p> <p>4. The key words should be arranged alphabetically. Conclusion and recommendation should be more specific</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. No, the author has not followed a definite format to write this article. The author is instructed to follow the definite word format of this journal. Introduction should be persuasive. The references are not according to journal's format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some grammatical mistakes are there. Author is instructed to check those errors.</p> <p>Abstract: Finding should be written in the past tense</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Under research design section quantitative research design is not a research design. If yes give the citation</p> <p>2. In Table 3 and Table 4 1st column should be mean , then SD</p> <p>3. In Table 5 Pearson r should be represented in small letter</p> <p>4. Recommendation should be more specific.</p> <p>5. Yuan, M., Du, X., Yu, R., & Xu, X. (2023); Platania, S., Morando, M., Gruttadauria, S. V., & Koopmans, L. (2023b), Othman, A. R., Razib, N. I. M., & Zahrah, W. (2022). MSEd, K. C. (2023b, May 4) Proper lining should be done.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudhanand Prasad Lal
Department, University & Country	Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India