

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JSRR_117746</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancing Agricultural Productivity: A Comparative Study of Vegetable Cultivation under Polyhouse and Open Field Conditions</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The results of the study can be important for farmers community from Punjab, or other region of India with similar ecological conditions.</p> <p>2. You must reformulate the title as follows: <b>Enhancing Agricultural Productivity: A Comparative Study of Vegetable Cultivation under Polyhouse and Open Field Conditions in Punjab</b></p> <p>3. The aim/objective of the study was not concretely/clearly presented in the abstract. As such, you must insert in the abstract the aim of the study: "To provide insights into the economic dynamics of polyhouse cultivation in Punjab and guide policymakers, researchers and farmers towards informed decision-making for sustainable and economically viable agricultural practices in this region."</p> <p>4. You have to reorganize the subsection from Results and Discussions.</p> <p>5. Too many keywords. In the Introduction section, there are no references, <u>it is unacceptable.</u> The data from Table 2 are strong associated with the frequencies from Table 1, as such remove Table 2. Also remove the title of subsection "Suitability Ranks of Different Vegetable Crops under Polyhouse and Open Field Conditions" In the Table 3 you must/can insert the percentages associated to each rank. The information from subsection "Identification of Most Profitable Crop under Polyhouse Conditions" must/can be inserted in subsection "Comparative Evaluation of Crops under Polyhouses vs. Open Field Cultivation" You must/can remove the first paragraph from the Conclusions section, this text does not provide information associated with the study results.</p> <p>6. The references (are insufficient) <b>MUST BE IMPROVED.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor editing/improvement of English language are necessary.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I consider that the manuscript needs substantial revisions/improvements before it can be considered for publication.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adriana Ciulca</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Life Sciences Timisoara, Romania</b>