

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_118719</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of potting media on seed germination and seedling growth of jackfruit (<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>) under Pryagraj Agro climatic condition</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>1.</b> Certainly! The manuscript titled "Effect of potting media.....of Jackfruit (<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>)" provides valuable insights for the scientific community. In summary, the manuscript contributes valuable information for optimizing jackfruit cultivation practices and enhancing seedling establishment, aiding in sustainable jackfruit production. Researchers and practitioners can build upon these findings to enhance crop productivity and address challenges related to seedling establishment.</p> <p><b>2.</b> Yes, the title of the article is suitable. It's important to have a appealing and informative title that accurately reflects the content.</p> <p><b>3.</b> The abstract of the article appears comprehensive, summarizing the study's focus on the effect of potting media on jackfruit seed germination and seedling growth under Prayagraj agro climatic conditions.</p> <p><b>4.</b> Certainly, the subsections and structures of the manuscript are appropriate. They effectively organize the content and make it easy to follow.</p> <p><b>5.</b> Absolutely, based on my review and understanding, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. It presents well-supported research findings and follows established scientific methodologies.</p> <p><b>6.</b> The references provided in the manuscript cover relevant topics, but some may not be recent. To enhance scholarly impact, consider including more up-to-date references.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. It adheres to the necessary standards of clarity, precision, and scientific rigor. However, ensure that it remains concise, well-structured, and free from grammatical errors.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>There are minor corrections in the body of the manuscript that should be taken care off. Author could include few pictures from study to support the results and data.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nazmoon Naher Tonu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh</b>