

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_118235
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of planting methods and weed control treatments on weed dynamics, yield and economics of green gram (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Such article is needed due to the rising economical importance of the crop studied.</p> <p>2. The article simply refers to planting methods, but planting in ridge and furrow may entail a different cultivation system altogether in comparison to other planting methods listed. The Materials & Methods section does not give sufficient explanation about how the three planting methods are carried out.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is not scientifically sound, for two main reasons: I. There is no replication of the study across years and/or locations; II. The Materials & Methods described lack sufficient description to properly understand the study and to possibly replicate the experiment. There are no sufficient details on the planting methods, weed control executions, nor statistical methodology.</p> <p>6. Not evaluated</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Filippo Rimi
Department, University & Country	USA