

## Original Research Article

# IMPACT OF BIOFERTILIZERS AND INORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON MICRO NUTRIENT CONTENT AND UPTAKE OF MAIZE

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment entitled "~~Impact biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on micro nutrient content and uptake of maize~~" was conducted on clay loam soils at Agriculture College Farm, Bapatla, during both *kharif* 2020 and 2021. The aim of this study was to see the effect of different levels of fertilizers along with biofertilizers on micro nutrient content and uptake by maize crop. This study aims to investigate the effect of fertilizers mixed with biofertilizers on maize crop micronutrient uptake. The study was conducted (on, with, or in) clay loam soils at Agriculture College Farm, Bapatla, during both *kharif* 2020 and 2021. The results indicated that application of 100% RDF +VAM + *Azospirillum*+ PSB recorded highest micronutrient content and lowest recorded with the control, but non-significantly influenced by the imposed treatments at knee high, tasseling and harvest stage (straw and grain). The application of 100% RDF +VAM + *Azospirillum*+ PSB recorded significantly highest iron, manganese, copper and zinc uptake at knee high, tasseling and harvest stage (grain and straw) of maize crop. The results revealed that application of biofertilizers along with inorganic fertilizers significantly increased plant nutrient content and uptake of maize crop. In conclusion, the study suggests it is concluded that integrated use of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers improves the nutrient content and uptake of maize crop.

**Key words:** Biofertilizers, Fertilizer levels, Micronutrient content and Uptake.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L) is one of the most versatile emerging crops having wider adaptability under varied agro-climatic conditions. Globally, maize is known as queen of cereals because it has the highest genetic yield potential among the cereals. It is cultivated on nearly 150 m ha in about 160 countries having wider diversity of soil, climate, biodiversity and management practices that contributes 36 % (782 m t) in the global grain production. In India, maize is the third most important food crops after rice and wheat. ~~Maize in~~ India, ~~maize~~ contributes nearly 9 % ~~in of~~ the

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Comment [Editor1]: Please feel free to reject or accept my re-phrase here.

Comment [Editor2]: Identify and use the appropriate word position here. You did not indicate it in your original paragraph.

Comment [Editor3]: The materials and method section is missing in this abstract.

Comment [Editor4]: Is this significant? If yes, can you show it?

Comment [Editor5]: At what p-value?

Comment [Editor6]: Show p-value, please

Comment [Editor7]: I will suggest you stick to one pattern - either call "maize" or "maize crop".

national food basket. In addition to staple food for human being and quality feed for animals, maize serves as a basic raw material ~~as an ingredient to~~for thousands of industrial products ~~that includes~~including starch, oil, protein, alcoholic beverages, food sweeteners, pharmaceutical, cosmetic, film, textile, gum, package and paper industries etc. Maize is cultivated both in temperate and tropical regions of the world. The full yield potential of maize crop can be exploited through adoption of hybrids with better nitrogen management practices.

**Comment [Editor8]:** This entire section lacks reference. I will suggest you add reference because there are literature claims you made with respect to the world and India which need referencing.

The combined application of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers significantly increased ~~micro-nutrient~~micronutrient content and uptake in *kharif* maize, in straw and in grain. This might be due to combined application of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers enhance root growth and cell multiplication leading to more absorption of nutrients from deeper layers of soil ultimately resulting in increased micro nutrient content and uptake. The application of biofertilizers (PSB, *Azospirillum* and VAM) plays a vital role in solubilization of various inorganic and organic phosphates added to the soil. It may also release soluble phosphorus into the soil through the decomposition of phosphorus from organic compounds. Chen (2006) states that the use of microbial inoculation can reduce the dosage of inorganic fertilizer, increase the nutrient content that can be absorbed from the soil, increase crop productivity and improve the quality of land sustainably.

**Comment [Editor9]:** I am not sure I understand this section. It seems the author is referring to their research results which I am not sure should be in this section. But if not, then their work doesn't add references to the work they are referencing.

Biofertilizers shows thea dynamic and positive effect on crop yield and nutrient uptake by the plant from the soil. There is a difference in biofertilizer performance among different crops out of which climate, soil biodiversity, soil fertility and soil C:N ratio are major contributing factors ~~it~~. Thus, the need ~~for~~ biofertilizers ~~for~~ ~~in~~ nutrient content and uptake is an important factor in many soils. The aim of this experiment was to examine the role of biofertilizers in combination with inorganic fertilizers on nutrient content and uptake of maize (Selvakumaret al., 2012).

**Comment [Editor10]:** Dear author, can you re-phrase this section because I am not sure of what you mean here.

**Comment [Editor11]:** Add citation please.

**Comment [Editor12]:** I am not sure as to why a reference is needed for your aim. Do you wish to continue a previous work you have done?

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Site Description

The field experiment was carried out during both *kharif* seasons of 2020-2021 at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla. ~~Geographically~~ located at an altitude of 5.49 m above mean sea level, 15°54' North latitude, 80°30' East longitude and about 8 km away from Bay of Bengal. ~~It is located in~~ ~~is in~~ Krishna agro-climatic zone of Andhra Pradesh. The experimental soil was clay loam in

**Comment [Editor13]:** Can you re-phrase these into one sentence? I would have done it but I do not want to miss out important information when describing the location.

texture, slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.56), non- saline (0.64 dS m<sup>-1</sup>), medium in organic carbon (5.4 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available nitrogen (283 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (42.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high in potassium (426 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in sulphur (14.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and sufficient in all micronutrients, (6.81, 5.43, 1.37 and 0.58) (Fe (6.81), Mn (5.43), Cu (1.37) and Zn (0.58)).

## 2.2 Experimental design and treatments

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with seven treatments and replicated thrice. The experimental treatment details are as following T<sub>1</sub>- Control, T<sub>2</sub>- 100% RDF, T<sub>3</sub>- 125% RDF, T<sub>4</sub>- 100% RDF + VAM, T<sub>5</sub>- 100% RDF + VAM + *Azospirillum*+ PSB, T<sub>6</sub>- 75 % RDF + VAM, T<sub>7</sub>- 75 % RDF + VAM + *Azospirillum*+ PSB. RDF for maize 200:60:50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O through applied Urea, Single super phosphate and Muriate of potash and biofertilizers like VAM -12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, *Azospirillum* -5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and PSB -5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through applied vermicompost. The popular hybrid of maize Pioneer 3396 was chosen for the study.

Comment [Editor14]: Law of first use says to write in full and abbreviate then abbreviate going forward.

**2.3 Collection and preparation of plant samples:** Plant samples of maize was collected from five randomly selected plants at knee high, tasseling and harvest stage. The samples were first dried in shade and then in hot air oven at 65 °C. The plant samples were ground in willey mill and stored in labeled brown paper bags for analysis. The grain samples were also processed and stored in similar fashion.

Comment [Editor15]: What is the height in (cm, m)?

Comment [Editor16]: Was it air-dried?

## 2.4 Methods used for plant analysis:

Di-acid extract was prepared as per the method outlined by Jackson (1973). It was carried out using a 9:4 mixture of HNO<sub>3</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub>. The pre digestion of sample was done by using 10ml of HNO<sub>3</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> sample. Iron, manganese, copper and zinc in the diacid extract were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer as per the specifications mentioned by Lindsay and Norvell (1978). From the chemical analytical data, uptake of each nutrient was calculated as shown below.

## 2.5 Micronutrient uptake by maize crop

From the chemical analytical data, uptake of the ~~micro-nutrients~~ micronutrients at knee high, tasseling and harvest of the maize crop was calculated and expressed by using the formulae.

Comment [Editor17]: At what height (cm, m)?

$$\text{Micronutrient uptake (g ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Nutrient content (mg kg}^{-1}\text{)} \times \text{dry weight in kg ha}^{-1}}{1000}$$

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

## 3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. Micronutrient Content

#### 3.1.1 Iron Content

The results revealed that, different levels of fertilizers and biofertilizer treatments applied to maize ~~had shown~~ has a ~~non-significant~~ non-significant influence on iron content (Table-1). Irrespective of the growth stages of maize and during both the years of study, application of 100% RDF + VAM + *Azospirillum* and PSB (T<sub>5</sub>) (106.37, 92.16, 58.48, 46.84 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 113.07, 100.15, 60.15, 47.77 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) recorded numerically higher iron content at knee high, tasseling and harvest (stover and grain) stages of maize crop growth, respectively. The lowest iron content was recorded with the treatment T<sub>1</sub> ~~i.e., control~~ (74.12, 65.32, 36.81, 31.94 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 80.55, 70.16, 38.19, 28.70 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2021). This might be due to the application biofertilizer and inorganics which slightly increased iron content at all the stages of crop growth. There was no significant influence on micronutrient contents with combined application of inorganics along with biofertilizers. Similar results were observed with Goutami and Rani (2016). Irrespective of the year of the study, the Fe content in maize decreased with the growth stages from knee high to harvest stage.

Comment [Editor18]: Which of the results are similar to that of Goutami and Rani (2016)?

Comment [Editor19]: It would be great if you summarize the findings of Goutami and Rani (2016)

#### 3.1.2 Manganese Content

Numerically, higher manganese content values were recorded in application of 100% RDF + VAM + *Azospirillum* and PSB (T<sub>5</sub>) (49.77, 45.37, 29.40, 16.18 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 52.77, 46.42, 31.43, 16.73 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) at knee high, tasseling and harvest (stover and grain) stages of maize crop growth, respectively (Table-2). The lowest manganese content was recorded with the treatment T<sub>1</sub> ~~i.e., control~~ (34.34, 31.11, 18.53, 10.16 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 37.08, 32.42, 19.37, 10.86 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2021). The results of the present study revealed that the combined application of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers recorded the highest manganese content compared to control. There was no significant influence on micronutrient contents with combined application of inorganics along with biofertilizers. Similar results were observed by Goutami and Rani (2016). Irrespective of the year of

Comment [Editor20]: It would be great if you summarize the findings of Goutami and Rani (2016)

study, the Mn content in maize straw decreased with the advancement of crop growth from knee high to harvest indicating the dilution effect (Debiprasad *et al.*, 2010). The wide variation in Mn concentration between straw and grain might be due to the slow movement of Mn from straw to grain as reported by Duhan and Singh (2002).

### 3.1.3 Copper Content

Irrespective of the growth stages of maize during both the years of the study, application of 100% RDF + VAM + *Azospirillum* and PSB (T<sub>5</sub>) (18.15,14.76,12.68,7.23 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 20.22,15.62,12.96,7.55 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) at knee high, tasseling and harvest (stover and grain) stages of maize crop growth, respectively (Table-3). The lowest copper content was recorded with the treatment T<sub>1</sub> *i.e., control* (10.47,9.41,7.06,5.05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 13.69,10.50,8.67,4.52 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2021). The results of the present study revealed that the combined application of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers recorded numerically higher copper content compared to control. There was no significant influence on micronutrient contents with combined application of inorganics along with biofertilizers. Similar results were observed by Goutami and Rani (2016). Irrespective of the year of the study, the Cu content in maize decreased with the growth stage from knee high to tasseling stage. When compared to Cu content in grain and straw at harvest was higher in all treatments.

Comment [Editor21]: It would be great if you summarize the findings of Goutami and Rani (2016)

### 3.1.4 Zinc Content

The higher zinc content values were recorded in 100% RDF + VAM + *Azospirillum* and PSB (T<sub>5</sub>) (43.43, 37.15, 34.26, 31.60 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 45.85, 39.52, 34.74, 31.82 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) at knee high, tasseling and harvest (stover and grain) stages of maize crop growth, respectively (Table-4). The lowest zinc content was recorded with the treatment T<sub>1</sub> *i.e., control* (29.18, 26.84, 24.42, 21.25 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 31.07, 27.93, 24.63, 22.33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) which received no fertilizers at knee high, tasseling and harvest (stover and grain) stages of maize crop growth. Increase in concentration of zinc with application of inorganics along with the biofertilizers was probably due to chelation of zinc with organic ligands, which might have enhanced the availability of zinc and its absorption by the plants. Zinc content was slightly increased but non significantly influenced by the treatments. Irrespective of the year of study, the Zn content in maize decreased with the growth stage from knee high to tasseling due to dilution effect and the Zn content in grain was lower when compared to straw. The decreased zinc content with the advancement of crop growth, could be due to the highest utilization of zinc in the synthesis of some

growth promoting hormones and in the reproductive processes of plants which were vital for grain formation.

## 3.2 ~~Micro Nutrient~~ Micronutrient Uptake

### 3.2.1 Iron Uptake

Significantly higher Fe uptake was recorded in the treatment T<sub>5</sub> that received 100% RDF + VAM + *Azospirillum* and PSB (182.18, 655.19, 493.98, 287.29 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 205.00, 720.16, 519.62, 311.43 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) and it was on par with the treatments T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> at knee high, tasseling and harvest (straw and grain) stages of maize during 2020 and 2021, respectively (Table-5). The recommended dose of fertilizer T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF) (123.69, 528.83, 365.44, 211.70 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 141.48, 575.00, 385.06, 225.82 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) was on par with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> at knee high, tasseling and harvest (straw + grain) stages of maize crop, respectively. The lowest iron uptake was recorded with the treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) control (73.74, 293.80, 192.06, 106.34 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 84.09, 322.52, 206.53, 110.60 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021). The increase in the uptake of cationic micronutrients with application of organic manures and biofertilizers along with inorganic fertilizers might be due to release of micronutrients on mineralization or production of organic acids during their decomposition which aids in solubilization of insoluble micronutrient compounds in soil or due to supply of natural chelating agents which makes more availability and uptake of micronutrients (Barik *et al.*, 2006). The chelating action of released organic compounds prevent the micronutrient cations from fixation, precipitation, oxidation, leaching and increase their availability and uptake by plants. This is in accordance with results of Gogoi *et al.* (2010). The application of biofertilizer increased the uptake of both macro and micro nutrients. It was observed that biofertilizer application had enhanced plant nutrients uptake. The results are in close agreement with the findings of Mohammadi *et al.* (2020) who demonstrated the ability of biofertilizers in increasing nutrient availability and uptake.

### 3.2.2 Manganese Uptake

Among all the treatments, the highest Mn uptake in plant was recorded in the treatment T<sub>5</sub> i.e., 100% RDF + VAM + *Azospirillum* and PSB (85.24, 325.54, 249.40, 101.96 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 95.78, 336.45, 272.94, 109.91 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) and it was on par with the treatments T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> at knee high, tasseling and harvest (straw and grain) stages of maize during *kharif*, 2020 and 2021, respectively (Table-6). The recommended dose of fertilizer T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF) (55.31, 249.74, 187.56,

Comment [Editor22]: Can you show the p-value?

Comment [Editor23]: It would be great if you summarize the findings of Gogoi *et al.* (2020)

75.36 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 64.22, 263.71, 197.17, 82.62 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) was on par with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> at knee high, tasseling and harvest (straw + grain) stages of maize crop. The lowest manganese uptake was recorded with the treatment T<sub>1</sub> *i.e.*, control (34.16, 135.43, 91.59, 39.35 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 38.70, 149.03, 104.75, 43.88 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021). Application of inorganics in combination with biofertilizers might have increased the availability of Mn in soil thereby increasing plant nutrient uptake. Similar results were observed by Krishnaveni (2010). It was observed that biofertilizer application had enhanced plant nutrient uptake. On the other hand, Mn uptake was gradually increased with advancement of stage of crop. Since ~~drymatterdry matter~~ production, yield and nutrient content were maximum or higher at harvest therefore significantly higher nutrient uptake is obvious (Amit *et al.*, 2018).

Comment [Editor24]: It would be great if you summarize the observations of Krishnaveni (2010)

### 3.2.3 Copper Uptake

The results revealed that Cu uptake at different stages of maize was significantly influenced by different treatments imposed during both the years study (Table-7). The highest Cu uptake by the plant was recorded in the treatment T<sub>5</sub> *i.e.*, 100% RDF + VAM + *Azospirillum* and PSB (31.62, 106.45, 108.03, 45.64 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 37.18, 114.13, 112.90, 49.88 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) and it was on par with T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> at knee high, tasseling and harvest (straw+ grain) stages of maize during *kharij*, 2020 and 2021, respectively. The recommended dose of fertilizer T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF) (20.06, 80.07, 84.73, 28.01 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 24.90, 86.23, 87.40, 35.34 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) was on par with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> at knee high, tasseling and harvest (straw + grain) stages of maize crop. The lowest copper uptake was recorded with the treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) control (10.41, 38.95, 37.50, 17.11 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 14.07, 50.39, 46.57, 18.55 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021). Application of inorganics in combination with biofertilizers are high which might have increased the availability of Cu in soil thereby increasing plant nutrient uptake. Copper uptake was gradually increased with vegetative phase to harvest. When absorbed during the vegetative phase it helps in synthesizing the chlorophyll necessary for photosynthesis and promotes rapid leaf, stem and root growth which stimulates micronutrient absorption and assimilation of nutrients. The increased absorption of micronutrient cations also might be due to complexing properties of organics which prevent precipitation, fixation of these nutrients and keep them in soluble form (Madhavi *et al.*, 2008).

### 3.2.4 Zinc Uptake

Among the different treatments applied, the treatment T<sub>5</sub> that received 100% RDF +VAM + *Azospirillum* and PSB (74.99, 266.58, 290.77, 201.37 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 83.73, 286.71, 301.62, 209.66 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) ~~and it was was at on~~ par with the treatments T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> at knee high, tasseling and harvest (straw and grain) stages of maize during the 2020 and 2021, respectively. The recommended dose of fertilizer T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF) (48.59, 211.57, 222.32, 149.27 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 55.14, 228.67, 227.93, 157.98 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021) was on par with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> at knee high, tasseling and harvest (straw + grain) stages of maize crop, respectively. The lowest zinc uptake was recorded with the treatment T<sub>1</sub> *i.e.*, control (29.03, 124.23, 129.39, 82.32 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 and 32.43, 131.91, 132.71, 90.23 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2021). Increased cationic micronutrient uptake at higher level of fertilizers and biofertilizers could be due to the reason that release of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> into soil solution in higher quantities may release cations including micronutrients from soil exchange complex and help in root exchange and thus might increase their availability, concentration and uptake. Increased accumulation of nutrients especially nitrogen, phosphorus, potash and zinc in vegetative parts ~~was might be might be~~ due to improved metabolism and led to greater translocation of these nutrients to reproductive structures of the crop. The increased photosynthetic efficiency, which favoured ~~dry matter dry matter~~ production and nutrient concentration in plants, seems to be the major factor responsible for higher nutrient uptake. These results are in close conformity with Kumar *et al.* (2018).

**Comment [Editor25]:** It would be great if you summarize the findings of Kumar et al. (2018)

#### 4. Conclusion

The combined application of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers significantly increased nutrient content and uptake at different growth stages of maize crop. The split application of inorganic fertilizers increased plant nutrient content and uptake of maize. The additional effect of improvement in plant nutrition status might be due to biofertilizers and inorganic dose which in combination gave better result in integrated treatments. The increased nutrient uptake could be due to higher ~~dry matter dry matter~~ accumulation at different stages of crop growth as uptake being the product of nutrient content and ~~dry matter dry matter~~ accumulation.

#### **References**

Amit, K.K., Lal, B and Tewari, S.K. 2018. Integrated nutrient management in oat (*Avena sativa* L.) under reclaimed sodic soil. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 51 (1): 78-81.

**Comment [Editor26]:** Any reason for italicizing this?

- Barik, A.K., Das, A., Giri, A.K and Chattopadhyay, G.N. 2006. Effect of integrated plant nutrient management on growth, yield and production economics of wet season rice. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 76 (11): 657-660.
- Chen, J. H. 2006. The combined use of chemical and organic fertilizers and or biofertilizer for crop growth and soil fertility. *International Workshop on Sustained Management of the Soil-Rhizosphere System for Efficient Crop Production and Fertilizer Use*, 16-20.
- Debiprasad, D., Hrusikesh, P., Ramesh, C., Tiwari and Mohammad, S. 2010. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen on Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn uptake and content of rice grain at harvest and straw at different stages of rice crop growth. *Advances in Applied Science Research*. 1(3): 36-49.
- Duhan, B.S and Singh, M. 2002. Zinc nutrition of rice in relation to iron and manganese uptake under different water regimes. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 50(2): 178-180.
- Gogoi, B., Barua, N.G and Baruah T.C. 2010. Influence of integrated nutrient management on crop yield in rainfed rice-niger cropping sequence. *Oryza*. 47 (3): 221-226.
- Goutami, N and Rani, P. 2016. Integrated nutrient management on content and uptake of nutrients by rice fallow sorghum. *International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research*. 4 (5): 2319-1473.
- Jackson, M. L. 1973. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi. 41.
- Krishnaveni, M.S. 2010. Studies on phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) in rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere soils in different varieties of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*). *International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science Technology*. 1: 23-29.
- Kumar, D., Kumar, M and Kumar, R. 2018. Evaluate the integrated nutrient use on growth and yield of hybrid maize under Central Plain Zone of Uttar Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 7 (3): 518-530.
- Lindsay, W. L and Norvell, W. A. 1978. Developments of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Science Society of American Journal*. 42: 421-428.

Madhavi, B.L., Suryanarayanareddy, M and Gopalreddy, B. 2008. Effect of poultry manure and fertilizers on yield and micronutrients uptake by maize. *Journal of Research ANGRAU*. 24(2): 154-156.

Mohammadi, H., Heidari, and Sohrabi, Y. 2020. The effects of biological and chemical nitrogen fertilizers and iron micronutrient on forage quality and yield of maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Journal of Plant Productions*. 43(2): 185-198.

Selvakumar G, Reetha S, Thamizhiniyan.2012. Response of biofertilizers on Growth, yield attributes and associated protein profiling changes of Blackgram (*Vigna mungo L.*). *World Applied Science Journal*. 16(10):56-64.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1. Effect of different levels of fertilizers in combination with biofertilizers on iron content(mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) at different growth stages of maize**

Treatments	Kharif (2020)				Kharif (2021)			
	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest		Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	
			Straw	Grain			Straw	Grain
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	74.12	65.32	36.81	31.94	80.55	70.16	38.19	28.70
T <sub>2</sub> : 100% RDF	91.82	79.53	48.83	40.14	98.79	86.21	50.65	40.48
T <sub>3</sub> : 125% RDF	103.83	89.26	53.67	43.47	107.13	96.10	53.94	44.13
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM	100.77	86.64	51.95	41.19	106.00	92.97	52.95	42.11
T <sub>5</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM+ <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	106.37	92.16	58.48	46.84	113.07	100.15	60.15	47.77
T <sub>6</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM	87.62	75.59	47.79	35.80	95.56	81.25	48.43	39.46
T <sub>7</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	104.10	90.18	55.47	44.43	111.76	97.84	56.47	45.16
<b>SEm (±)</b>	6.94	5.79	4.25	3.24	7.16	6.55	4.34	3.73
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>CV (%)</b>	12.58	12.12	14.59	13.85	12.18	12.72	14.58	14.35

**Table 2. Effect of different levels of fertilizers in combination with biofertilizers on manganese content ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) at different growth stages of maize**

Treatments	<i>Kharif (2020)</i>				<i>Kharif (2021)</i>			
	Kneehigh	Tasseling	Harvest		Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	
			Straw	Grain			Straw	Grain
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	34.34	31.11	18.53	10.16	37.08	32.42	19.37	10.86
T <sub>2</sub> : 100% RDF	42.87	38.26	24.99	13.83	45.91	40.27	25.89	14.11
T <sub>3</sub> : 125% RDF	46.18	43.11	27.59	15.19	49.49	44.15	28.52	15.64
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM	44.93	41.47	26.90	14.76	47.25	42.50	27.92	14.76
T <sub>5</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM+ <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	49.77	45.37	29.40	16.18	52.77	46.42	31.43	16.73
T <sub>6</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM	40.58	36.61	24.39	13.44	42.62	37.66	25.37	13.77
T <sub>7</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	48.85	44.23	28.38	15.86	51.51	45.23	29.59	16.11
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	3.53	2.96	2.11	1.20	3.22	3.12	2.28	1.18
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>CV (%)</b>	13.91	12.79	14.17	14.61	11.95	13.06	14.22	14.05

**Table 3. Effect of different levels of fertilizers in combination with biofertilizers on copper content ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) at different growth stages of maize**

Treatments	Kharif (2020)				Kharif (2021)			
	Kneehigh	Tasseling	Harvest		Kneehigh	Tasseling	Harvest	
			Straw	Grain			Straw	Grain
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	10.47	9.41	7.06	5.05	13.69	10.50	8.67	4.52
T <sub>2</sub> : 100% RDF	15.22	12.72	11.61	6.01	17.05	13.22	11.12	6.14
T <sub>3</sub> : 125% RDF	16.93	13.30	12.20	6.74	17.76	14.51	12.41	7.16
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM	16.11	12.98	11.59	6.19	17.48	13.77	11.82	6.43
T <sub>5</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM+ <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	18.15	14.76	12.68	7.23	20.22	15.62	12.96	7.55
T <sub>6</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM	14.51	11.68	10.55	5.46	15.61	12.32	10.71	5.63
T <sub>7</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	17.20	14.22	12.47	7.10	18.64	14.85	12.59	7.31
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	1.32	1.11	0.96	0.51	1.34	1.14	0.88	0.48
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>CV (%)</b>	14.46	14.32	14.68	13.99	14.52	14.36	14.51	13.52

**Table 4. Effect of different levels of fertilizers in combination with biofertilizers on zinc content ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) at different growth stages of maize**

Treatments	Kharif (2020)				Kharif (2021)			
	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest		Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	
			Straw	Grain			Straw	Grain
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	29.18	26.84	24.42	21.25	31.07	27.93	24.63	22.33
T <sub>2</sub> : 100% RDF	37.46	31.63	29.76	27.11	39.19	34.13	30.03	27.37
T <sub>3</sub> : 125% RDF	40.34	35.95	32.88	29.27	43.18	37.08	33.23	29.55
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM	39.43	34.85	31.87	28.78	41.37	35.82	32.14	28.97
T <sub>5</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	43.43	37.15	34.26	31.60	45.85	39.52	34.75	31.82
T <sub>6</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM	36.21	31.23	29.74	26.74	37.43	32.36	30.07	26.93
T <sub>7</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	41.19	36.36	33.58	30.15	43.85	38.35	33.88	31.06
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	3.12	2.14	2.23	1.97	3.19	2.24	2.19	2.03
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>CV (%)</b>	14.13	11.09	12.48	12.27	14.24	11.33	12.36	12.44

**Table 5. Effect of different levels of fertilizers in combination with biofertilizers on iron uptake ( $\text{g ha}^{-1}$ ) at different growth stages of maize**

Treatments	Kharif (2020)				Kharif (2021)			
	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest		Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	
			Straw	Grain			Straw	Grain
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	73.74	293.80	192.06	106.34	84.09	322.52	206.53	110.60
T <sub>2</sub> : 100% RDF	123.69	528.83	365.44	211.70	141.48	575.00	385.06	225.82
T <sub>3</sub> : 125% RDF	158.09	594.36	426.37	250.91	177.91	650.00	434.68	273.87
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM	157.44	551.95	403.57	246.11	174.65	602.01	420.09	258.82
T <sub>5</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM+ <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	182.18	655.19	493.98	287.29	205.00	720.16	519.62	311.43
T <sub>6</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM	102.24	446.31	318.34	183.52	122.90	482.01	328.01	176.90
T <sub>7</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	170.10	626.01	451.90	269.74	194.33	684.41	468.69	283.45
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	8.48	38.46	30.11	13.39	10.29	40.79	33.04	17.40
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	26.12	118.51	92.79	41.27	31.72	125.68	101.79	53.63
<b>CV (%)</b>	10.46	12.55	13.55	10.22	11.27	12.19	14.31	12.69

**Table 6. Effect of different levels of fertilizers in combination with biofertilizers on manganese uptake ( $\text{g ha}^{-1}$ ) at different growth stages of maize**

Treatments	<i>Kharif (2020)</i>				<i>Kharif (2021)</i>			
	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest		Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	
			Straw	Grain			Straw	Grain
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	34.16	135.43	91.59	39.35	38.70	149.03	104.75	43.88
T <sub>2</sub> : 100% RDF	55.31	249.74	187.56	75.36	64.22	263.71	197.17	82.62
T <sub>3</sub> : 125% RDF	74.57	293.78	219.08	84.76	84.04	300.81	229.65	95.89
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM	71.69	277.81	204.64	84.20	78.99	289.19	218.93	90.31
T <sub>5</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM+ <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	85.24	325.54	249.40	101.96	95.78	336.45	272.94	109.91
T <sub>6</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM	49.36	222.51	163.58	63.20	57.04	231.83	172.97	68.51
T <sub>7</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	80.04	310.84	230.56	90.36	89.31	317.87	243.63	100.89
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	4.42	15.64	15.99	5.93	5.46	15.49	17.65	6.44
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	13.61	48.19	49.26	18.29	16.84	47.73	54.39	19.84
<b>CV (%)</b>	11.82	10.31	14.18	13.15	12.97	9.83	14.71	13.05

**Table 7. Effect of different levels of fertilizers in combination with biofertilizers on copper uptake ( $\text{g ha}^{-1}$ ) at different growth stages of maize**

Treatments	Kharif (2020)				Kharif (2021)			
	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest		Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	
			Straw	Grain			Straw	Grain
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	10.41	38.95	37.50	17.11	14.07	50.39	46.57	18.55
T <sub>2</sub> : 100% RDF	20.06	80.07	84.73	28.01	24.90	86.23	87.40	35.34
T <sub>3</sub> : 125% RDF	26.13	91.58	97.07	40.02	31.06	99.73	100.17	44.21
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM	24.65	86.08	93.35	37.79	29.54	92.75	93.40	40.07
T <sub>5</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	31.62	106.45	108.03	45.64	37.18	114.13	112.90	49.88
T <sub>6</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM	18.05	69.24	70.46	25.83	21.90	73.29	72.73	29.09
T <sub>7</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	28.72	99.70	100.01	42.72	33.87	104.86	102.93	45.95
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	1.87	6.62	5.54	2.56	2.52	7.05	7.19	3.20
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	6.98	20.40	17.08	7.89	7.75	21.73	22.15	9.85
<b>CV (%)</b>	14.09	13.82	11.23	13.09	13.61	13.76	14.17	14.79

**Table 8. Effect of different levels of fertilizers in combination with biofertilizers on zinc uptake ( $\text{g ha}^{-1}$ ) at different growth stages of maize**

Treatments	Kharif (2020)				Kharif (2021)			
	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest		Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	
			Straw	Grain			Straw	Grain
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	29.03	124.23	129.39	82.32	32.43	131.91	132.71	90.23
T <sub>2</sub> : 100% RDF	48.59	211.57	222.32	149.27	55.14	228.67	227.93	157.98
T <sub>3</sub> : 125% RDF	63.00	238.04	261.70	181.17	72.16	250.23	268.21	181.51
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM	60.53	228.78	246.16	173.77	67.54	242.50	251.15	176.78
T <sub>5</sub> : 100% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	74.99	266.58	290.77	201.37	83.73	286.71	301.62	209.66
T <sub>6</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM	44.36	184.97	199.27	128.40	50.51	194.37	204.79	133.00
T <sub>7</sub> : 75% RDF + VAM + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	68.22	253.45	269.77	195.87	77.16	269.16	277.49	195.72
<b>SEm (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	4.81	12.66	15.22	9.10	5.33	14.45	18.49	11.17
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	14.82	39.00	46.89	28.03	16.41	44.54	56.98	34.43
<b>CV (%)</b>	14.93	10.18	11.39	9.80	14.65	10.93	13.48	11.76