

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_118428
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF BIOFERTILIZERS AND INORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON MICRO NUTRIENT CONTENT AND UPTAKE OF MAIZE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the article is important because it highlights the importance of combining organic and inorganic fertilizers during farming (maize crop in this case)</p> <p>I accept the article title with minor changes.</p> <p>The abstract lacks in organization and materials/method. I commented in the main article.</p> <p>Yes, but the abstract needs restructuring – I identified that earlier on.</p> <p>According to their method, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The article lacks enough references. Some places were not cited, while some citations were very brief – just a mention of previous researchers and whether their work agrees or not.</p> <p>Other comments</p> <p>I suggest that the results are represented pictorially – bar chart or pie chart. There are just so many numbers and one can easily lose track of it. The table can be in supplementary.</p> <p>Significant value representations (e.g., *) are missing in the table, even though the authors identified some values to be significant.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, the English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>None</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chukwudi Humphrey Omeoga
Department, University & Country	University at Albany, State University of New York, United States