

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_118735
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Intellectual Capital on The Working with Good Corporate Governance as a Variable of Moderation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for the scientific community and is likely to contribute to the body of knowledge. Yes, subject to amendment (Remove the moderating variable and add the institution and the sector) The title will read as follows: <u>Influence of Intellectual Capital on Financial Performance.in the Banking Sector in Indonesia.</u> The Abstract is suitable. However, the author should sharpen it by highlighting/addressing these key areas: The general objective of the study (Essentially, this is the title), Introduction/Background, objectives of the study, scope of the study, the population of the study, method of data collection, analysis and techniques, research design, results findings, conclusion, and recommendations, interpretations, impact and future research.. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, it is. For improvement, Address the following guidelines: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Use CHAPTER and center instead of numbering the topics. For example,... CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW..... Centre the headings Avoid indenting the paragraphs on the left, instead, justify the right and the left Avoid numbering within the text. use prose instead. Each idea or group of similar ideas should be presented in a single paragraph. Proof read the entire journal. Some sentences are grammatically wrong Read, read again. Seek for further peer review. Avoid paragraphs made of less than three lines. Remove the title SUGGESTION and replace it with RECOMMENDATIONS Give a logical flow to the content and use coherent language in line with research requirements. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>8. Use APA Referencing per global standards.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>Yes, it is. For improvement;</p> <p>Address the problem statement by answering the following research questions; What is the problem, why, when, how, who?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>No.</p> <p>References which are more than 5 years old should be avoided. In a VUCA world, everything is changing rapidly and data, therefore information could be obsolete.</p> <p>However, Theories and Models may be accepted if a newer version/ is not available.</p> <p>7. Base your discussion and recommendations as per each variable/sub ariable.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? To a certain extent.</p> <p>Use scholarly language and formal lanuage. Do not use colloquial / informal language in the manuscript. For example; basically, very,</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Approve the manuscript upon satisfactory revision of the recommendations.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Jane Njeri Njenga</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>The Co-operative University of Kenya, Kenya</p>