

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_118424
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Inflation, Indonesia Crude Price, and Indonesia Sharia Stock Index on Sharia Mutual Funds in Indonesia: A Multidimensional Analysis and VECM Approach
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community.</li> <li>The title of the article needs modification. I suggest "The effect of selected macroeconomic variables on sharia mutual funds in Indonesia: A multidimensional Analysis from VECM Approach"</li> <li>The abstract is comprehensive as it contains all the relevant information.</li> <li>The subsections of the manuscripts are appropriate. However, there is the need to have a section for review of empirical literature.</li> <li>In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>The references are current and recent.</li> </ol> <p>There is need for the author to present the estimation results in the body of work at the relevant areas, rather than leaving them in the appendix without making any reference to them.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication. However, the manuscript requires minor editorial.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article is of good quality. However, minor corrections needs to be effected as noted in the body of the manuscript.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ebierinyo Ayebaemi Akarara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Niger Delta University, Nigeria</b>