

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_104089
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTINUTRITIONAL PROPERTIES OF YAM FLOUR TREATED WITH CHEMICAL PRESERVATIVES DURING 6 MONTHS OF STORAGE IN RELATION TO PACKAGING MATERIALS
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The main purpose of the researchers is to investigate the impact of chemical treatment on antinutritional components in yam flour and its relationship with storage time. However, the changes in the data are inconsistent with the analysis, please provide an explanation.</p> <p>1. "The Alkaloid contents of flour samples decreased as storage progressed from month 0 to month 6," However, the data in Table 1 are as follows: B0.18f 0.39d 0.30e 0.28de, C 0.15h 0.43b 0.26g 0.31cd, The data shows an upward trend. Other antinutritional ingredients also exhibit similar performance, Please provide an explanation</p> <p>2. Table 1: C 0.15h 0.43b, G 0.36b 0.41c. 0.43 is higher than 0.41, please explain. Please verify similar data and provide an explanation</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	OK	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zhongwei Guo
Department, University & Country	China