

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_118515
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Economic Factors Influencing Migrant Labourers in Western Regions of Tanzania: Evidence from Kibondo District, Kigoma Region
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Need to add more references.</p> <p>The Author(s) need to mentioned clearly the Statistical tools and techniques applied in the Manuscript. They need to mention why they choose Principal Component Analysis and Kaiser's Normalization and add more information about both the Methods in the Manuscript. The Author(s) can also use other statistical tools like Chi-Square test for better results. They need to add the graphical results of Principal components analysis. i.e. the Scree Plot, PCA Biplot and Correlation Matrix for better authenticity and proper explanation of Results. They can also perform the correlation and graphically represent the results in form of Heat Map or correlation matrix.</p> <p>The Material methods section and Results part is incomplete without keeping all the above-mentioned points</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Add more reference Mention clearly why the author keep PCA and proper reason behind this.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subrat Kumar Mahapatra
Department, University & Country	Siksha 'O' Anusandhan Deemed to be University, India