

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JEAI_118147
Title of the Manuscript:	Regeneration of forest resources in tropical zones (case of <i>Khaya Senegalensis</i> (Desr.) A. Juss.)
Type of the Article	It should be a Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Since it focus on the regeneration of the most important multipurpose tree, <i>Khaya senegalensis</i>, with a very brief and interesting work, the manuscript has scientific importance</p> <p>Suggested Title: Regeneration of forest trees in tropical zones: a case of <i>Khaya senegalensis</i> (Desr.) A. Juss.</p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensive, but still contained important concepts that can be developed into comprehensive idea</p> <p>Yes it is appropriate but the manuscript shall be considered as "A case study " not as " original article" Almost Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The case study (manuscript) is very brief but with good information starting from the abstract to the conclusion. It needs some amendments and additional information only.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>If it is considered as a case study the manuscript is not bad</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Getinet Masresha Kassa
Department, University & Country	University of Gondar, Ethiopia